

# A Retrospective study to evaluate the risk factors associated with low birth weight infants in a tertiary care hospital

Dr. Aditi Singh, Dr. Kalpana Yadav, Dr. Shabd Singh Yadav,

Senior Resident, Dept of Obstetrics & gynecology, S.S Medical college, Rewa(M.P) Professor & HOD, Dept of Obstetrics & gynecology, S.S Medical college, Rewa(M.P) Associate Professor & Unit Head, Dept of Paediatrics, S.S Medical college, Rewa(M.P)

Date of Submission: 15-12-2020	Date of Acceptance: 21-12-2020

ABSTRACT- Birth weight is a predictor of neonatal morbidity, mortality and determines long term health of the infant. Low birth weight suggests that intrauterine period was adversely affected and recognizing these factors can help us to prevent LBW infants. According to WHO it is estimated that 15-20% of all births globally are LBW and 28% are born in South Asia. Aim: To identify high risk factors associated with low birth weight. Material & methods: 520 LBW infants born during the period of 1<sup>st</sup> july 2016 to 30<sup>th</sup> june 2017 were selected and antenatal records studied retrospectively. Results: 29% of LBW infants were preterm (<37wks),58% were born to primi mothers,55.3% belonged to low socioeconomic status and 58.7% were unbooked. Majority of the LBW infants were born to mothers having poor nutrition 50.1% to mothers with weight gain <7kg, belonging to remote areas and associated comorbidities. Conclusion: LBW is a significant health problem. Identifying the risk factors early and their prevention would lead to better outcomes. **KEYWORDS-**Low birth weight, preterm neonate, risk factors, nutrition.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Low birth weight (LBW) is defined as a birth weight of an infant less than 2500 g (up to and including 2499 g), as per the World Health Organization (WHO) regardless of gestational age<sup>1</sup>. Very low birth weight (VLBW) is less than 1500g and extremely low birth weight (ELBW) is less than 1000g<sup>1</sup>.

It is estimated that one out of seven babies born globally are LBW. According to WHO it is estimated that 15-20% of all births globally are LBW and 28% are born in South Asia<sup>2</sup>. The incidence of LBW is disproportionately high in developing countries. The incidence of LBW in India has declined from 20.4% to 16.4% in the last decade<sup>3</sup>.

Multiple factors determine the birth weight of an infant like genetic, socio-economic, cultural, environmental etc. One of the important factors is gestational age at delivery. Most of the LBW infants are preterm (<37weeks). So factors causing preterm delivery are directly associated with LBW. Inadequate utero-placental perfusion leading to chronic hypoxia causes intra uterine growth restriction (IUGR) and thus resulting in LBW<sup>4,5</sup>. Maternal infections, including intrauterine infections, HIV, and malaria, result in LBW due to growth restriction<sup>6,7</sup>. Socio-demographic factors such as pregnancy at early age, inadequate antenatal visits and care, short inter pregnancy interval, poor nutrition, low socio-economic status, illiteracy and cultural practices also play a major role in determining the birth weight<sup>8</sup>. Fetal factors associated are ethinicity, congenital anomalies and in-utero infections<sup>9</sup>.

Low birth weight is a significant indicator of maternal health, nutrition, healthcare status, and socio-economic fabric of society. Neonates with low birth weight have a >20 times greater risk of dying than neonates with birth weight of >2500 g<sup>8,10</sup>. Additionally, low birth weight is associated with long-term neurologic sequelae, impaired motor development, learning disabilities<sup>11</sup>, and increased risk of chronic diseases including cardiovascular disease, diabetes and chronic lung diseases.

Hence identifying risk factors in antenatal and pre-conceptional period helps in preventing low birth weight and gives opportunity for timely intervention. This can help in improving overall health of infants and in reducing the burden on healthcare services of that area.

## II. MATERIALS & METHOD

This is a retrospective observational study done over a period of one year from  $1^{st}$  July 2016 to  $30^{th}$  June 2017. This study was conducted at Dept of obstetrics & gynaecology, Gandhi Memorial



Hospital, associated with Shyam Shah Medical College and Hospital, Rewa (M.P.). 520 Infants delivered in the hospital in this period whose birth weight was less than 2500g were selected and antenatal records of the mothers were studied. Relevant history regarding socio-demographic factors, antenatal history, dietary history were recorded. Birth weight of the baby was recorded within first hour of delivery on an electronic weighing scale.

Frequency and present values were computed for qualitative variables. Mean values were compared using analysis of variance. Frequency distributions were compared using Chisquare/Fisher's exact test as appropriate.

#### Inclusion criteria:

1. Birth at gestational age >34 weeks

## 2. Singleton pregnancy

### Exclusion criteria:

1. Gestation with mistaken dates

2. severe co-morbidities to the mother eg. cardiac disease, essential hypertension.

### III. RESULT

Out of 772 LBW infants born in the study period,520 fulfilled our inclusion/exclusion criteria and their mothers' antenatal records were studied and detailed history taken. Following are the determinants observed.

S No.	Demographic factor	No. of LBW infants	%
1.	Un-booked pregnancy	302	58%
2.	Rural areas	346	66%
3.	Lower socio-economic status	288	55.3%
4.	Illiterate	198	38%
5.	Occupation(labourer)	194	37.3%

#### Table no.1- Distribution of LBW cases according to demographic factors

Thus one of the important factors was booking status of the mother. Out of LBW cases 58% were unbooked and had lesser antenatal visits (<4). 66%

belonged to rural and remote areas where access to healthcare facility was difficult.55.3% belonged to lower socio-economic status.

 Table No. 2- Distribution of cases according to maternal factors

S No.	Maternal factors	No. of LBW infants	%
1.	Age <20 yrs 21-29 yrs 31-34 yrs >35 yrs	54 359 80 27	10% 69% 15% 05%
2.	Parity Primi Multi	301 219	58% 42%



3.	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) <18.5 18.5-24.9 24.9-29.9 >30	86 256 156 22	16.5% 49.2% 30% 4.2%
4.	Weight gain during pregnancy(kg) <7 7-11 >12	261 205 54	50.1% 39.4% 10.3%
5.	Calorie intake(kcal) <2000 2000-2400 >2400	214 194 112	41% 37% 21%
6.	IFA tablets intake Regular Irregular	240 280	46% 53.8%

Most of the LBW infants i.e. 69% belonged to the mothers with age group 21-29 yrs and 10% to below 20 yrs.

49.2% belonged to the mothers with normal BMI. 41% were born to mothers with low calorie intake and 53.8% to mothers who did not take complete course of iron and folic acid tablets.

58% of LBW infants were born to primigravida mothers.

 Table No.3- Distribution of cases according to maternal complications

S No.	Maternal complications	No. of LBW infants	%
1.	Anaemia (<9gm)	298	57.3%
2.	Preeclampsia	126	24.2%
3.	GDM	32	06%
4.	No complications	64	12.3%

57.3% of LBW infants were born to mothers with anaemia reflecting their poor nutritional status. 24.2% belonged to mothers with pre-eclampsia suggesting correlation with IUGR and gestational hypertension.



S No.	Fetal factors	No. of LBW infants	%	
1.	Gestational age at delivery			
	Preterm 34-37 weeks	150	29%	
	Term 37-40 weeks	273	52.5%	
	Post term>40 weeks	97	18.6%	
2.	Birth weight(gm)			
	2000-2500	429	82.5%	
	1500-2000	80	15.38%	
	<1500	11	02%	
3.	Mode of delivery			
	Vaginal	381	73%	
	Cesarean	139	26.7%	
4.	NICU admissions	162	31%	

29% of LBW infants were born preterm.

82.5% had birth weight between 2000-2500g.

26.7% were born with caesarean section and 31% had NICU admissions.

## IV. DISCUSSION

LBW is one of the major causes of infant morbidity and mortality in India. Though its genesis is multi-factorial and complex there are many factors that can be prevented with vigilance and rectifying certain aspects such as diet, education of mother and providing basic health care amenities.

In our study out of 520 LBW infants we found that 58% were born to mothers whose gestation were unbooked and had lesser no. of antenatal visits (<4). 66% belonged to rural and remote areas where access to healthcare facility was difficult.55.3% belonged to lower socioeconomic status. 38% belonged to mothers with poor literacy background and 37.3% where mothers were working as daily wagers and doing heavy physical work.

In a study done by Kader M et al. it was that the percentage of LBW babies among illiterate mothers was high<sup>12</sup>. Kramer in his meta-analysis has concluded that socio-demographic factors like educational status, age of mother,pre-pregnancy weight have been found to be associated with LBW<sup>8</sup>. Nutritional status of mothers has been demonstrated to be an important determinant of birth especially in developing countries. Biswas et al reported that no. of antenatal visits and place of delivery also determines birth weight<sup>13</sup>. Banerjee et al concluded no of ANC visits>4, maternal age >20yrs and with normal BMI had less chances of having LBW babies<sup>14</sup>. Raman TR et al in their study have listed a no. of maternal factors such as maternal age, parity and spacing between pregnancies are associated with LBW<sup>15</sup>.

In our study we reported that 69% of LBW infants were born to mothers within age group 21-29years and 10% with age <20 years.58% to primipara mothers. 49.2% to mothers who had normal BMI pre-pregnancy and 16.5% to BMI <18.5 suggesting under weight.51% mothers had <7kg weight gain during pregnancy and 41% had calorie intake less than 2000kcal.53.8% did not take regular IFA tablets.

These inferences are in conclusion with studies done by Kramer, Banerjee et  $al^{8,14}$ .

Nisar YB et al reported that antenatal IFA supplementation results in improved linear growth of infants and children<sup>16</sup>. Parmar P et al reported that maternal BMI is positively associated with birth weight<sup>17</sup>. Jain A et al stated that lesser calorie intake, poor nutritional status of mother was positively associated with LBW infants<sup>18</sup>.

57.3% of LBW infants were born to anaemic mothers concluding that maternal anaemia plays an important role in birth weight. Prakash S et al, Mumbare SS et al reported that maternal anaemia resulted in LBW infants<sup>19,20</sup>.

52.5% of LBW infants were born at term gestation but as much as 29% were pre-term (<37 weeks) in our study. 73% were born through vaginal delivery and 26.7% were born through caesarean.15.3% had birth weight <2000g and 2% were below 1500g. About 31% had some form of NICU admission at birth. Jain A et al reported in their study that 29% of LBW infants were preterm and mean birth weight of preterm babies was generally less<sup>18</sup>. Desta M et al reported that incidence of LBW increases with preterm births<sup>21</sup>.



Rate of NICU admission was also high in LBW infants. Murta et al and Silva A et al reported that caesarean section was a high risk factor for low birth weight infants<sup>22,23</sup>.

## V. CONCLUSION

Low birth weight is determined by multiple factors such as socio-demographic, cultural, maternal etc and their association is complex. Certain determinants can be identified and corrected early such as maternal nutrition, anaemia and awareness among mothers regarding antenatal visits. Mothers who are at higher risks for LBW can be monitored. Prevention of preterm births and timing and need of caesarean should be considered. This can greatly improve the health and development of infants.

#### **Financial support and sponsorship-**Nil **Conflicts of interest-**Nil

#### **REFRENCES-**

- [1]. Organization WH. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, tenth revision, 2nd ed. World Health Organization; 2004.
- [2]. WHO. Global nutrition targets 2025: low birth weight policy brief Geneva. World Health Organization; 2014.
- [3]. N. Khan, A. Mozumdar, and S. Kaur, "Determinants of low birth weight in India: an investigation from the national family health survey," American Journal of Human Biology: The Official Journal of the Human Biology Council, Article ID e23355, 2019.
- [4]. Goldenberg R.L., Culhane J.F., Iams J.D., Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. Lancet. 2008;371(9606):75– 84.
- [5]. Sharma D., Shastri S., Sharma P. Intrauterine growth restriction: antenatal and postnatal aspects. Clin Med Insights Pediatr. 2016;10:67–83.
- [6]. Cutland CL, Lackritz EM, Mallett-Moore T, Bardají A, Chandrasekaran R, Lahariya C, et al. Low birth weight: Case definition & guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of maternal immunization safety data. Vaccine 2017;35:6492-500.
- [7]. Hivre SS, Gantra BR. Determinants of low birth weight. A community based prospective cohort study. Indian Pediatr. 1994;31:1221–1225.
- [8]. Kramer MS. Determinants of low birth weight: Methodological assessment and

meta-analysis. Bull World Health Organ 1987;65:663-737.

- [9]. Villar J., Papageorghiou A.T., Knight H.E., Gravett M.G., Iams J., Waller S.A. The preterm birth syndrome: a prototype phenotypic classification. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012;206(2):119–123.
- [10]. Badshah S., Mason L., McKelvie K., Payne R., Lisboa P.J. Risk factors for low birthweight in the public-hospitals at Peshawar, NWFP-Pakistan. BMC Pub Health. 2008;8:197.
- [11]. Zerbeto A.B., Cortelo F.M., Élio Filho B.C. Association between gestational age and birth weight on the language development of Brazilian children: a systematic review. J de Pediatr. 2015;91(4):326–332.
- [12]. Kader M, Perera NK. Socio-economic and nutritional determinants of low birth weight in India. N Am J Med Sci 2014;6:302-8.
- [13]. Biswas R, Dasgupta A, Sinha RN, Chaudhuri RN. An epidemiological study of low birth weight newborns in the district of Puruliya, West Bengal. Indian J Public Health 2008;52:65-71.
- [14]. A. Banerjee, A.K. Singh, H. Chaurasia. An exploratory spatial analysis of low birth weight and its determinants in India. Clin Epidemiol Global Health, 8 (3) (2020), pp. 702-711.
- [15]. TS Raghu Raman, Amit Devgan, SL Sood, Arvind Gupta, B Ravichandar. Med J Armed Forces India. 1998 Jul; 54(3): 191–195.
- [16]. Nisar YB, Dibley MJ, Mebrahtu S, Paudyal N, Devkota M. Antenatal Iron-Folic Acid Supplementation Reduces Neonatal and Under-5 Mortality in Nepal. J Nutr. 2015 Aug;145(8):1873-83. doi: 10.3945/jn.114.206565. Epub 2015 Jul 1.
- [17]. Parmar P, Lowry E, Vehmeijer F, et al. Understanding the cumulative risk of maternal prenatal biopsychosocial factors on birth weight: a DynaHEALTH study on two birth cohorts. J Epidemiol Community Health 2020;74:933-941.
- [18]. Jain A, Piparsania S, Doharey NC, Mohta A, Soni RK. Maternal determinants of low birth weight newborns in central India. Med J Babylon 2020;17:272-7.
- [19]. Prakash Som, Kandoria, Meenakshi, Pal Anita. A study of effects of anemia on maternal and perinatal outcomes. International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology, [S.I.], v. 7, n. 7, p. 2719-2723, june 2018. ISSN 2320-1789



- [20]. Mumbare SS, Maindarkar G, Darade R, Yenge S, Tolani MK, Patole K. Maternal risk factors associated with term low birth weight neonates: A matched-pair case control study. Indian Pediatr 2012;49:25-8.
- [21]. Desta, M., Tadese, M., Kassie, B. et al. Determinants and adverse perinatal outcomes of low birth weight newborns delivered in Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Ethiopia: a cohort study. BMC Res Notes 12, 118 (2019).
- [22]. MURTA, Eddie Fernando Candido; FREIRE, Guilherme Carvalho; FABRI, Daniel Capucci and FABRI, Renato Humberto. Could elective cesarean sections influence the birth weight of full-term infants?. Sao Paulo Med. J. [online]. 2006, vol.124, n.6 [cited 2020-12-16], pp.313-315.
- [23]. Silva AA, Lamy-Filho F, Alves MT, Coimbra LC, Bettiol H, Barbieri MA. Risk factors for low birthweight in north-east Brazil: the role of caesarean section. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2001 Jul;15(3):257-64.