

A clinical study of post-operative wound infection in elective and emergency abdominal surgery.

Jamaluddin ahmed¹ J.Yogesh ²Najim Hiquemat ³,

Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Gauhati Medical College and Hospital, Guwahati;
 Post Graduate Trainee, Department of General Surgery, Gauhati Medical College and Hospital, Guwahati;
 Resident Surgeon, Department of General Surgery, Gauhati Medical College and Hospital, Guwahati;
 najim.hiquemat168@gmail.com

| Date | of Subm | ission: | 25-12-2020 |
|------|---------|---------|------------|
| | | | |

Date of Acceptance: 10-01-2021

ABSTRACT: Background: Surgical site infection is the most common nosocomial infection and a major cause of post-operative morbidity and resource utilization. An infected wound can prolong hospitalization by 5 to 20 days and subsequently increase the medical cost. This study was performed with the aim to find out the incidence of Surgical Site Infection and to assess its relation with age, sex, duration of operation, wound drainage and co-morbidity among the elective and emergency abdominal surgeries in the surgical unit 1, Department of Surgery, Gauhati Medical College.

Methods: This cross-sectional observational study was carried out in the surgical unit 1, Department of Surgery at Gauhati Medical College and Hospital from July 2018 to June 2019. A total of 237 patients who underwent emergency or elective abdominal surgery were taken up for the study.

Results: During this period 237 patients underwent elective and emergency surgeries in the surgical unit 1, Department of Surgery, GMCH out of which 38 cases developed post-operative SSI with the infection rate being 16.03 %. Higher incidence of SSI was noted among the emergency cases (37%). Higher incidence of SSI was found with increasing age, diabetes, obesity, and in contaminated & dirty cases. Commonest organism isolated was Escherichia-coli (39.13%).

Conclusion: Post-operative wound infections represent a substantial burden of disease both for the patients and health services in terms of the morbidity and economic costs. Employing methods that could reduce the incidence of SSI, would reduce patient morbidity and lessen the associated economic burden.

I. INTRODUCTION:

Post-operative wound infection also known as surgical site infection is a major cause of postoperative morbidity, prolonged hospital-stay and increased health care cost. As defined by the Center For Disease Control Prevention (CDC) these infections typically occur within 30 days of operation (at the site of operation) or within a year if an implant is left in place and is thought to be secondary to surgery. Based on the reports by the National Nosocomial infection Surveillance System (NNIS) established in 1970, SSI are the most frequently reported nosocomial infection accounting for 14% to 16% of all hospitalized patients¹⁻⁴. In the modern day despite the advances in perioperative & postoperative wound care measures, wound infection remains a substantial cause of morbidity and increased health care cost among hospitalized patients. Bacterial colonization on the patient's skin & alimentary & genital tract are the principal contributing sources that lead to SSI. The organism most often isolated is Escherichia-coli. A number of factors are reported to increase the likelihood of post-operative infections. e.g age, duration of surgery, type of surgery, type of wound, comorbid conditions like Diabetes Mellitus, obesity etc. Most of the postoperative wound infection are preventable with appropriate use of prophylactic antibiotics. In order to assess the success of infection prophylaxis, a standard acceptable and effective hospital infection control program should be created for which information regarding pattern of post-operative wound infection is essential. Employing methods that could reduce incidence of SSI would significantly reduce patient's morbidity and associated economic burden.

AIM: The aim of the study was to establish rate of infection and assess its relation with age, sex, type of operation(elective/emergency), duration of operation, type of wound, use of drain , and comorbidity.

MATERIAL & METHODS: Place of study: Surgical unit 1, Department of Surgery, Gauhati Medical College and Hospital. A total number of 237 patients undergoing various



abdominal surgery from the month of July 2018 to June 2019, in the surgical unit 1 were taken up for the study.

INCLUSION CRITERIA: Patients admitted in the surgical unit 1, department of surgery, GMCH undergoing elective and emergency operations. EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

• Patients less than 10 years and more 60 years.

• Patients with Immunosuppression

• Infection occurring more than 30 days after surgery.

II. RESULTS AND OBSERVATION:

This study included 237 patients who underwent elective and emergency abdominal operation in the surgical unit 1, department of Surgery, GMCH from the period of June 2018 to July 2019.The following observations were made from the study. Out of these 237 patients, 38 patients developed post-operative wound infection. Over all incidence of postop wound infection was 16.03%. Study showed higher incidence of wound infections with increasing age, with highest incidence (38.46 %) occurring in the age group of 51-60 years. Males had higher incidence of infection (22.34 %) as compared to females. Incidence is higher in emergency surgeries (37 %) as compared to elective surgeries (9.83%). Incidence of wound infection in clean-wound, clean contaminated wound, contaminated wound and dirty wound were 8.88%, 9.42%, 31.25% and 39.47 % respectively. The study showed increase in incidence of post-operative wound infections with increase in duration of surgery. Diabetics and obese individuals had a higher incidence of wound infection. Wound infections were higher with the use of drain. In this study, the most common organism isolated was Escherichia-coli followed by Klebsiella and Pseudomonas. Gram negative organisms showed resistance to antibiotics like Cefuroxime, ceftriaxone, cotrimoxazole and ciprofloxacin. Staphylococcus aureus showed resistance to Levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and azithromycin.

1. Incidence of post-operative wound infection:

Out of 237 cases, 38 patients had post-operative wound infection with an incidence of 16.03%.

| No of cases | No of infection | Percentage (%) |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 237 | 38 | 16.03% |

2. Age of patient: Increased incidence of infection were seen in elderly with maximum of incidence between the age group 51 to 60 years.

| No of cases | No of infection | percentage |
|-------------|--|---|
| | | |
| 15 | 1 | 6.66% |
| 56 | 4 | 7.14% |
| 78 | 12 | 15.38% |
| 63 | 11 | 17.46% |
| 26 | 10 | 38.46% |
| | No of cases 15 56 78 63 26 | No of cases No of infection 15 1 56 4 78 12 63 11 26 10 |





3. Sex Difference:

Males had higher incidence of wound infection compared to females.

| Sex | Total No Of Cases | No of patients with infections | Percentage |
|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| Male | 94 | 21 | 22.34 |
| Female | 143 | 17 | 11.88 |

4. Elective/Emergency:

Emergency cases had higher incidence of infection compared to elective cases.

| Type of surgery | No .of cases | No. of infections | Percentage |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Elective | 183 | 18 | 9.83% |
| Emorgonov | 54 | 20 | 37.0% |
| Emergency | 54 | 20 | 57.0% |
| | | | |

5. Incidence of SSI in relation to operative time:

Incidence of wound infection increases with an increase in operating time.

| No of Case | No of infection | Percentage |
|------------|----------------------|--|
| 114 | 7 | 6.14 |
| | | |
| 89 | 18 | 20.22 |
| 34 | 13 | 38.23 |
| 1 | No of Case 114 39 34 | No of Case No of infection 114 7 39 18 34 13 |





6. Incidence of SSI in Diabetic patients:

The presence of diabetes increased the occurrence of wound infection.

| | | No of patients undergoing surgery | No of patients with wound infection | percentage |
|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Diabetic | Elective | 18 | 4 | 22.22 |
| | Emergency | 5 | 3 | 60% |
| Non - Diabetic | Elective | 165 | 15 | 9.09 |
| | Emergency | 49 | 16 | 32.65 |

7. Obesity and wound infection:

Increased incidence of wound infection was noted with obesity.

| | No of Cases | No. of infecti | ons Percentage |
|---------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Obesity | 14 | 6 | 42.85% |
| | | | |

8. Risk of SSI in relation to Drain:

| | No of cases | No of infections | Percentage |
|----------------|-------------|------------------|------------|
| Drain used | 180 | 33 | 18.33 |
| Drain not used | 57 | 5 | 8.77 |
| | | | |



9. Incidence In relation to wound class:

A) Clean Wound: Class I

| Operation | No of cases | No of Infection |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Inguinal hernia | 39 | 3 |
| Other hernia | 6 | 1 |
| Total | 45 | 8.88% |

B) Clean contaminated: class II

| Operation | No of cases | No of infection | |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| Cholecystectomy | 72 | 5 | |
| Choledocholithotomy | 12 | 2 | |
| Appendicectomy | 38 | 3 | |
| Resection & Anastomosis | 4 | 1 | |
| APR | 3 | 1 | |
| GJ | 9 | 1 | |
| Total | 138 | 13(9.42%) | |

C) Contaminated: class III

| Operation | No of cases | No of infection | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| Intestinal obstruction | 13 | 4 | |
| Stab wound | 3 | 1 | |
| Total | 16 | 5(31.25%) | |

D) Dirty Wound: class IV

| Operation | No of cases | No of infection |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| DU perforation | 20 | 6 |
| Gastric perforation | 4 | 2 |
| Ileal perforation | 7 | 3 |
| Appendicular abscess | 7 | 4 |
| Total | 38 | 15(39.47 %) |

Incidence of wound infection in clean-wound, clean contaminated wound, contaminated wound and dirty wound were 8.88%, 9.42%, 31.25% and 39.47% respectively

10. INCIDENCE OF WOUND INFECTION IN RELATION TO DIFFERENT ABDOMINAL OPERATION:

| SL.no | Operation | No of cases | Infection |
|-------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| 1. | Cholecystectomy | 72 | 5 |
| 2. | Choledocholithotomy | 12 | 2 |
| 3. | Appendicectomy | 38 | 3 |
| 4. | Inguinal hernia | 39 | 3 |
| 5. | Other hernia | 6 | 1 |
| 6. | GJ | 9 | 2 |
| 7. | Resection & anastomosis of | 4 | 1 |



International Journal Dental and Medical Sciences Research Volume 3, Issue 1, Jan-Feb 2021 pp 280-288 www.ijdmsrjournal.com ISSN: 2582-6018

| 8. | APR | 3 | 1 |
|-----|------------------------|----|---|
| 9. | Intestinal obstruction | 13 | 4 |
| 10. | DU perforation | 20 | 6 |
| 11. | Gastric perforation | 4 | 2 |
| 12. | Ileal perforation | 7 | 3 |
| 13. | Appendicular abscess | 7 | 4 |
| 14. | Stab wound injury | 3 | 1 |

11. Type of bacterial isolates from infected cases:

| Organism | Elective cases showing positive culture | Emergency cases showing positive culture | Percentage(%) |
|---------------------------|--|--|---------------|
| | | | |
| Escherichia Coli | 6 | 10 | 42.10 |
| Klebsiella | 3 | 7 | 26.31 |
| Pseudomonasaerugi nosa | 2 | 5 | 18.42 |
| Staphylococcus | 4 | 1 | 13.15 |



12. Antibiotic sensitivity of the Bacterial isolates:

In the present study Escherchia-coli was found to be 100% sensitive for Imipenam, Meropenem and Ceftriaxazone-tazobactum. Sensitivity was also seen for amikacin, gentamicin and piperacillin-tazobactum. Klebsiella and pseudomonas were sensitive for amikacin, gentamicin, imipenem, Meropenem. piperacillin – tazobactum was found to be 88 % sensitive for pseudomonas. Linezolid was the most sensitive antibiotic for Staph.aureus followed by the third generation cephalosporins



| S.no | Antibiotic E- | coli(%) | Kleł | osiella(%) | Pesudomonas(%) | | Staph.aureus(%) | | |
|------|---------------------------|---------|------|------------|----------------|------|-----------------|------|--|
| 1. | Cefuroxime 5.: | 5 | 8.3 | | - | | 85.7 | | |
| 2 | Cefixime - | | - | - | | | 28.5 | | |
| 3 | Cefotaxime 22 | 2 | 8.3 | | | | 71.4 | 71.4 | |
| 4 | Ceftazidime - | | 8.3 | | - | 71.4 | | | |
| 5 | Ceftriaxone 16 | .6 | 8.3 | | - | | - | | |
| 6 | Cloxacillin - | | - | | - | | 85.7 | | |
| 7 | Oxacillin - | | - | | - | | 85.7 | | |
| 8 | Gentamicin 61 | .1 | 16.6 | | 50 | | 85.7 | | |
| 9 | Amikacin 72 | 2 | 58.3 | | 50 | | - | | |
| 10 | Ciprofloxacin 22 | 2 | - | | - | | 14.2 | | |
| 11 | levofloxacin 27 | .7 | - | | 22.2 | | 14.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | ofloxacin | 22.2 | | - | | - | | - | |
| 13 | Azithromycin | - | | - | | - | | 14.2 | |
| 14 | Tetracycline | - | | - | | - | | 85.7 | |
| 15 | Doxycycline | - | | - | | - | | 28.5 | |
| 16 | Linezolid | - | | - | | - | | 100 | |
| 17 | Imipenem | 100 | | 100 | | 100 | | 14.2 | |
| 18 | Meropenem | 100 | | 100 | | 100 | | - | |
| 19 | vancomycin | - | | - | | - | | 100 | |
| 20 | PolymyxinB | - | | 37.5 | | - | | - | |
| 21 | Ertapenem | - | | 12.5 | | - | | 14.2 | |
| 22 | Cotrimoxazole | 5.5 | | 8.3 | | 22.2 | | 57.1 | |
| 23 | Pipercillin-tazobactum | n 77.7 | 33.3 | | 88.8 | | | 14.2 | |
| 24 | CeftriaxazoneTazobac m | tu 100 | | 80 | | - | | - | |

III. DISCUSSION:

This study included 237 patients who underwent elective and emergency abdominal operation in the department of Surgery, GMCH. Post-operative wound infection was found in 38 patients with an overall incidence of 16.03 %. Garibaldi et al⁵ (1991) and Gupta et al⁶ (1996) found the incidence to be 5.60 % and 15 % respectively. Ageing have been reported to increase the likelihood of wound infection with highest incidence of wound infection in the age group of 51 to 60 years and lowest incidence in the age group of 11 to 20 years. Williams et al⁷ (1972), Olson et al⁸ (1990) and Scott et al⁹ (2001) also reported higher incidence of infection in older age groups. In the present study 22.3 % of males and 11.8% of females had wound infection. Walsh et al¹⁰ (1981) also recorded higher infection rate in males compared to females. Post op wound infection was higher in emergency surgeries (37 %) compared to elective surgeries (9.8 %). In our study the wound infection rate for clean, clean contaminated, contaminated, and dirty cases were 8.8 %, 9.4 %, 31.2 %, and 39.47 % respectively. Cruse and Foord¹¹ (1973) showed a wound infection rates of 1.8 %, 8.9 %,21.5 %, and 38.3 % respectively for the different wound types. Sangrasi et al¹² (2008) found the infection rates to be 5.4%, 12.3 %, 36.3% and 40 % respectively.



| Study | Clean (in%) | Clean contaminated in%) | (Contaminated(in%)) | 6Dirty (in%) |
|---|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| (Cruse and Foord 1973) ¹¹ | 1.8 % | 8.9 % | 21.5 % | 38.3 % |
| (Culver, Horanet al. 1991) ¹³ | 2.1 % | 3.3 % | 6.1 % | 7.4 % |
| (Sangrasi,Leghari e5 tal.2008) ¹² | 5.4 % | 12.3 % | 36.3 % | 40 % |
| (Agarwal,Agarwal et3 al.1984) ¹⁴ | 36.17 % | 57.14 % | 100 % | _ |
| (Kamat,Fereirra et als 2008) ¹⁵ | 5.4 % | 35.5 % | 77.8 % | - |
| The present study 8 | 3.88 % | 9.03 % | 33.33 % | 40.81 % |

In our study we found that incidence of SSI increased with duration of surgery (< 1 hour6.14%, 1-2 hour- 20.22%, >2 hour- 38.23%). Cruse and Foord¹¹ (1973) found that rate of wound infection increased with longer procedures; roughly doubling with every hour of the procedure. In 1985 Haley et al¹⁶ found that surgeries longer than 2 hours were predictive of wound infection. Diabetics had higher incidence of wound infection compared to non-diabetics. William G.Cheadle¹⁷ (2006) found that diabetes increases the risk of SSI. Obesity was found to increase the risk of SSI with 42.85 % of obese individual developing SSI. Lynch, Ranney et al¹⁸ (2009) showed that obesity is an independent risk factor for the development of SSI. Incidence of post-operative infections were higher in cases where drain was used (18.33 %) compared to cases without drain (8.77 %). Similar observation was made by Cohen (1998)¹⁹. Commonest organism isolated in this study was Escherichia Coli followed by klebsiella and pseudomonas. Similar result was shown by Vilar-Compte, Mohar et al²⁰ (2000) and Agarwal et al¹⁴ (1984) . Kowli, Nayak et al²¹ (1985) and Anbumani, Kalyan et al²² (2006) showed Staphylococcus aureus as the most common organism. In this study Gram negative organism showed resistance to antibiotics like cefuroxime, ceftriaxone, cotrimoxazole and ciprofloxacin. Staphylococcus aureus showed resistance to Levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and azithromycin.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Post-operative wound infections represent a substantial burden of disease both for the patients and health care system in terms of the morbidity and economic costs. Although surgical wound infections cannot be completely eliminated, a reduction in the infection rate to a minimal level may have significant benefits. This may be achieved by meticulous surgical techniques, minimizing the duration of operation, proper sterilization, hygienic operation theatres, and ward environments. Control of obesity, treatment of infective foci, and diseases like diabetes mellitus may help in controlling the morbidity associated with surgical wound infections. Improper and the prolonged use of antibiotics should be avoided, as this can lead to the development of resistance strains of microorganisms.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- [1]. Ferroz EM, Bacelar TS, Aguiar J, Ferraz Ab, pognossin G, Batista Jem, wound infection Rates in Clean Surgery.Inf Contr.Hosp.Epidem, 1992; 13:457-462
- [2] Mclaws Hm, Irwing L, Moch P, Berry G, Gold J. Predictors of Surgical Wound Infection in Australia. Med . J. Austr. 1988; 149;591-595
- [3]. Haley R W, Hooton TM , Culver DH , et



al.Nosocomial infection in US hospitals, 1975-1976:estimated frequency by selected characteristics of patients. Am J Med. 1981;70:947-59

- [4]. Emori Tg, Gaynes Rp. An Overview of Nosocomial Infections, Including The Role of the microbiology Laboratory. Clin Microbiol Rev 1993; 6(4): 428-42
- [5]. Garibaldi, R. A., et al. (1991). "Risk factors for postoperative infection." The American journal of medicine 91(3): S158-S163.
- [6]. Gupta, H. and K. Maudar (1996). "PREVENTION OF POST-OPERATIVE WOUND INFECTION IN ABDOMINAL OPERATIONS." Medical Journal Armed Forces India 52(2): 71-74.
- [7]. Williams, J. A., et al. (1972). "Abdominal wound infections and plastic wound guards." British Journal of Surgery 59(2): 142-146
- [8]. Olson, M. M. and J. T. Lee (1990). "Continuous, 10-year wound infection surveillance: results, advantages, and unanswered questions." Archives of Surgery 125(6): 794-803.
- [9]. Scott, J. D., et al. (2001). "Factors associated with postoperative infection." Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 22(6): 347-351.
- [10]. Walsh, J., et al. (1981). "The effect of topical povidone-iodine on the incidence of infection in surgical wounds." British Journal of Surgery 68(3): 185-189.
- [11]. Cruse, P. J. and R. Foord (1973). "A fiveyear prospective study of 23,649 surgical wounds." Archives of Surgery 107(2): 206-210.
- [12]. Sangrasi, A. K., et al. (2008). "Surgical site infection rate and associated risk factors in elective general surgery at a public sector medical university in Pakistan."

International wound journal 5(1): 74-78.

- [13]. Culver, D. H., et al. (1991). "Surgical wound infection rates by wound class, operative procedure, and patient risk index." The American journal of medicine 91(3): S152-S157.
- [14]. Agarwal, P., et al. (1984). "Incidence of post-operative wound infection at Aligarh." INDIAN J. MED. 46(6): 326-332
- [15]. Kamat, U. S., et al. (2008). "A prospective study of surgical site infections in a teaching hospital in Goa." Indian Journal of Surgery 70(3): 120.
- [16]. Haley Rw, Culver DH Et.Al. Identifying patients at risk of surgical wound infection.Amj. Epidemiol. 1985; 121:206-215
- [17]. Cheadle, W. G. (2006). "Risk factors for surgical site infection." Surgical infections 7(S1): s7-s11.
- [18]. Lynch, R. J., et al. (2009). "Obesity, surgical site infection, and outcome following renal transplantation." Annals of surgery 250(6): 1014-1020.
- [19]. Cohen, I. (1998). "A brief history of wound healing." Yardley, Pa: Oxford Clinical Communications Inc.
- [20]. Vilar-Compte, D., et al. (2000). "Surgical site infections at the National Cancer Institute in Mexico: a case-control study." American journal of infection control 28(1): 14-20.
- [21]. Kowli, S., et al. (1985). "Hospital infection." Indian J Surg 48: 475-486.
- [22]. Anbumani, N., et al. (2006). "Epidemiology and microbiology of wound infections." Indian Journal for the Practicing doctor 3(5): 1-5.