

"Correlation of Facet Joint Tropism and Degenerative Disc Disease in Lumbar Spine of General Population of Age Less Than 35 Years"

Dr. Himani Hegde¹, Dr. Manish Shetty²

¹Junior Resident, Department of Radiodiagnosis ²Associate Professor, Department of Radiodiagnosis Srinivas Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Mukka, Mangalore

Submitted: 10-10-2024

Accepted: 20-10-2024

ABSTRACT: Background: Facet tropism, defined as asymmetry between the left and right facet joints, is postulated as a possible cause of lumbar degenerative diseases,

Objectives of the study:

- 1. To study the Facet joint tropism on MRI at multiple lumbar intervertebral disc spaces in individuals less than or equal to 35 years of age, presenting with lower back ache.
- 2. Correlation of facet joint tropism with disc dessication as an early indicator of degenerative disc disease.

Materials and Methodology: All the measurements and observations are done on a SIEMENS 1.5 TESLA MRI Machine.Angle is calculated in the axial sections of MRI with the angle is measured between lines drawn along the posterior border of the vertebral body and bisecting the superior and inferior tips of the facet joint. The resultant angle calculated is taken as the facet joint angle the difference in the angulation of either facet joint at the same level if observed to be 8° or greater, it is considered as facet joint Tropism⁷

Results: Data entry will be done using Microsoft Excel. Data will be analysed using Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS). Descriptive statistics will be applied and data will be presented using ranges, mean values, standard deviation, frequencies and percentages. Student's t test and Pearson's correlation coefficient will be used to find out the correlation between different parameters studied. Statistical significance is set at 0.05 level of significance (p < 0.05)

Conclusion: Our study showed association between facet joint tropism and radiological signs of degenerative disc disease. Thus indicating the possible role of facet joint tropism in the evaluation of degenerative disc disease.

Keywords: Facet joint ,degenerative discintervertebral disc signal intensity

I. INTRODUCTION:

The lumbar facet joints are principal stabilizers of the dynamic motion thus preventing translation and excessive amounts of rotation and flexion¹Approximately 33 % of the dynamic compressive load and 35 % of the static load are handled by the facet joints¹

Degenerative changes in the spine can be a potential cause of back pain, and therefore an understanding of the relationship between pathoanatomic abnormality and advanced degeneration is of importance from a clinical and public health perspective²

Studies have suggested a decrease in gagCEST values of the nucleus pulposis and annulus fibrosus in participants with facet joint tropism and increased sagittal orientation of the facet joint, indicating that both characteristics are risk factors for the early development of biochemical intervertebral disc alterations⁵

Facet joint tropism is difference in the orientation and angle of facet joints with respect to each other in the sagittal plane. Angle is calculated in the axial sections of MRI/Computed tomography with the angle is measured between lines drawn along the posterior border of the vertebral body and bisecting the superior and inferior tips of the facet joint. The resultant angle calculated is taken as the facet joint angle the difference in the angulation of either facet joint at the same level if observed to be 8° or greater, it is considered as facet joint Tropism⁷

This can lead to unequal biomechanical forces on the facet joints and intervertebral disc during rotation and other physiological movements.



Hence it was decided to initiate a study evaluating facet joint tropism by measurement of angle of facet joints and correlate it with disc dessication as a sign of early degenerative disc changes to look for statistical significant correlation between facet joint tropism and Disc dessication as a marker of early degenerative changes.

Materials and Methodology:

The ethical clearance for this study was approved by the Srinivas Institute of Ethics Committee (SIEC) with the ethical approval number :SIEC/SIMS & RC/57/06/2024 (IRB number)

Study type: Hospital based Retrospective study. Sample size: 96

N = $\underline{z\alpha}^2\underline{pq}$ where, $z\alpha = 1.96$ for 95% confidence interval p = 46.3%(Had Total facet joint tropism)³ q=1-p d= margin of error = 10% ∴ Estimated sample size (n)= 96

Inclusion Criteria:

Patients of age less than or equal to 35 years of age with MRI of Lumbo-sacral Spine.

• <u>Exclusion criteria</u>:

Nil.

Sampling technique:

Patients of age less than or equal to 35 years of age presenting with lower back ache for their MRI of Lumbo-sacral Spine.

Data Collection tools:

All the measurements and observations are done on a SIEMENS 1.5 TESLA MRI Machine.

Angle is calculated in the axial sections of MRI with the angle is measured between lines drawn along the posterior border of the vertebral body and bisecting the superior and inferior tips of the facet joint. The resultant angle calculated is taken as the facet joint angle the difference in the angulation of either facet joint at the same level if observed to be 8° or greater, it is considered as facet joint Tropism⁷



MRI measurement of facet joint orientation. m: middle line, a reference line bisecting the base of the spinous process; α : the angle between the middle line and the left facet line connecting the margins of the superior articular process; β : the right facet joint orientation

Statistical analysis:

Data entry will be done using Microsoft Excel. Data will be analysed using Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS). Descriptive statistics will be applied and data will be presented using ranges, mean values, standard deviation, frequencies and percentages. Student's t test and Pearson's correlation coefficient will be used to find out the correlation between different parameters studied. Statistical significance is set at 0.05 level of significance (p < 0.05)

Statistics analysis report:

| | Gender | Frequency | Percent | | |
|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Female | 36 | 37.5 | | |
| DOI: 10.35629/525 | 2-0605356368 | Impact Factorvalue 6.18 IS | 0 9001: 2008 Certified J | ournal | Page 2 |



| Male | 60 | 62.5 |
|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Total | 96 | 100.0 |
| Age Interval | Frequency | Percent |
| 10-20 | 13 | 13.5 |
| 20-30 | 43 | 44.8 |
| 30-35 | 40 | 41.7 |
| Total | 96 | 100.0 |

Table No.: 01. The following table provides the age and gender distribution of the study participants.







| Facet | Joint | | |
|---------|-------|-----------|---------|
| Tropism | | Frequency | Percent |
| Absent | | 63 | 50.4 |
| Present | | 62 | 49.6 |
| Total | | 125 | 100.0 |



Table No.: 03. The inter-vertebral disc signal intensity observed among the study samples.

| Intervertebral disc signal intensity | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Grade-I | 47 | 37.6 |
| Grade-II | 57 | 45.6 |
| Grade-III | 21 | 16.8 |
| Total | 125 | 100.0 |





| . of the more shows me degenerative dise diseases observed uniong stud | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Degenerative Disc diseases | Frequency | Percent | | | |
| Bulge | 73 | 58.4 | | | |
| Bulge with posterior annular tear | 8 | 6.4 | | | |
| Disc extrusion | 15 | 12.0 | | | |
| Protrusion | 29 | 23.2 | | | |
| Total | 125 | 100.0 | | | |

Table No.: 04. The table shows the degenerative disc diseases observed among study samples.



Table No.: 05. The table provides the involvement of inter-vertebral disc among study participants.

| Involved intervertebral disc | Frequency | Percent |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| L2-L3 | 2 | 1.6 |
| L3-L4 | 5 | 4.0 |
| L4-L5 | 38 | 30.4 |
| L5-S1 | 80 | 64.0 |
| Total | 125 | 100.0 |





Table No.: 06. The following table provides the facet joint tropism observed among different inter-vertebral disc signal intensity.

| | | 515 | nui memore | <i>y</i> . | |
|----------------|------|--------|-------------|------------|--------|
| Intervertebral | disc | signal | Facet Joint | | |
| intensity | | | Absent | Present | Total |
| Grade-I | | | 39 | 8 | 47 |
| | | | 83.0% | 17.0% | 100.0% |
| Grade-II | | | 18 | 39 | 57 |
| | | | 31.6% | 68.4% | 100.0% |
| Grade-III | | | 6 | 15 | 21 |
| | | | 28.6% | 71.4% | 100.0% |
| Total | | | 63 | 62 | 125 |
| | | | 50.4% | 49.6% | 100.0% |

*chi-square statistic = 32.035, p-value<0.001 (significant association)





| | Facet Joint Tr | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|
| Degenerative Disc diseases | Absent | Present | Total |
| Bulge | 37 | 36 | 73 |
| | 50.7% | 49.3% | 100.0% |
| Bulge with posterior annular | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| tear | 62.5% | 37.5% | 100.0% |
| Disc extrusion | 6 | 9 | 15 |
| | 40.0% | 60.0% | 100.0% |
| Protrusion | 15 | 14 | 29 |
| | 51.7% | 48.3% | 100.0% |
| Total | 63 | 62 | 125 |
| | 50.4% | 49.6% | 100.0% |

Table No.: 07. The following table provides the facet joint observed among different degenerative disc diseases.

*chi-square statistic = 11.140, p-value<0.031 (significant association)



Table No.: 08. The following table provides the mean facet asymmetry observed among various degenerative

| | | | Std. | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | | |
|-------------------|-----|---------|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | Ν | Mean | Deviation | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | Minimum | Maximum |
| Bulge | 73 | 7.8203 | 5.12994 | 6.6234 | 9.0172 | .90 | 31.00 |
| Bulge with | 8 | 5.6875 | 6.21391 | .4925 | 10.8825 | .40 | 16.00 |
| posterior annular | | | | | | | |
| tear | | | | | | | |
| Disc extrusion | 15 | 11.5933 | 4.80840 | 8.9305 | 14.2561 | 6.50 | 20.20 |
| Protrusion | 29 | 8.6710 | 4.97121 | 6.7801 | 10.5620 | 1.00 | 20.40 |
| Total | 125 | 8.3339 | 5.25069 | 7.4044 | 9.2635 | .40 | 31.00 |

*One-way ANOVA, F = 237.940, p-value<0.033 (significant mean difference of Facet Asymmetry)





Table No.: 09. The following table provides the mean facet asymmetry observed among cases with grade 1, 2,

| | and 3 of the signal intensity. | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|--------------|--------|---------|--|
| | | | | 95% Confidence | Interval for | | | |
| | | | Std. | Mean | | Minimu | | |
| | Ν | Mean | Deviation | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | m | Maximum | |
| Grade-I | 47 | 5.0021 | 3.21515 | 4.0581 | 5.9461 | .70 | 15.00 | |
| Grade-II | 57 | 8.7979 | 3.45094 | 7.8822 | 9.7136 | .40 | 15.90 | |
| Grade-III | 21 | 14.5314 | 6.83973 | 11.4180 | 17.6448 | 2.00 | 31.00 | |
| Total | 125 | 8.3339 | 5.25069 | 7.4044 | 9.2635 | .40 | 31.00 | |

*One-way ANOVA, F = 1340.601, p-value<0.000 (significant mean difference of Facet Asymmetry)





Table No.: 10. The following table provides the facet joint tropismobserved in various degenerative disc diseases for disc signal intensity of grade-I.

| | U | <u> </u> | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Intervertebral disc | Degenerative Disc | Facet Joint | | |
| signal intensity | diseases | Absent | Present | Total |
| Grade-I | Bulge | 27 | 6 | 33 |
| | - | 81.8% | 18.2% | 100.0% |
| | Bulge with posterior | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | annular tear | 100.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% |
| | Disc extrusion | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | 100.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% |
| | Protrusion | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| | | 77.8% | 22.2% | 100.0% |
| | Total | 39 | 8 | 47 |
| | | 83.0% | 17.0% | 100.0% |

*chi-square statistic = 12.229, p-value<0.029 (significant association)



 Table No.: 11. The following table provides the facet joint tropism observed in various degenerative disc diseases for disc signal intensity of grade-II.

| Intervertebral disc | Degenerative Disc | Facet Joint | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| signal intensity | diseases | Absent | Present | Total |
| Grade-II | Bulge | 10 | 24 | 34 |
| | | 29.4% | 70.6% | 100.0% |
| | Bulge with posterior | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| | annular tear | 50.0% | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| | Disc extrusion | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| | | 16.7% | 83.3% | 100.0% |
| | Protrusion | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| | | 38.5% | 61.5% | 100.0% |
| | Total | 18 | 39 | 57 |
| | | 31.6% | 68.4% | 100.0% |



*chi-square statistic = 16.605, p-value<0.048 (significant association)



Table No.: 15. The following table provides the degenerative disc diseases observed among different age group.

| | Degenerative | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|--------|
| | | Bulge with | L | | |
| | | posterior annular | a - | | |
| Age Interval | Bulge | tear | Disc extrusion | Protrusion | Total |
| 10-20 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 13 |
| | 53.8% | 0.0% | 23.1% | 23.1% | 100.0% |
| 20-30 | 34 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 43 |
| | 79.1% | 2.3% | 7.0% | 11.6% | 100.0% |
| 30-35 | 19 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 40 |
| | 47.5% | 10.0% | 12.5% | 30.0% | 100.0% |
| Total | 60 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 96 |
| | 62.5% | 5.2% | 11.5% | 20.8% | 100.0% |

*chi-square statistic = 12.328, p-value = 0.050 (significant association)





Table No.: 16. The following table provides the facet joint tropism observed among male and female.

| | Facet Joint | | | |
|--------|-------------|---------|--------|--|
| Gender | Absent | Present | Total | |
| Male | 15 | 21 | 36 | |
| | 41.7% | 58.3% | 100.0% | |
| Female | 28 | 32 | 60 | |
| | 46.7% | 53.3% | 100.0% | |
| Total | 43 | 53 | 96 | |
| | 44.8% | 55.2% | 100.0% | |

*chi-square statistic = 0.227, p-value = 0.633 (significant association)



Table No.: 17. The following table provides the degenerative disc diseases observed among male and female.GenderDegenerative Disc diseasesTotal



International Journal Dental and Medical Sciences Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, Sep - Oct 2024 pp 356-368 www.ijdmsrjournal.com ISSN: 2582-6018

| | | Bulge posterior | with annular | | | |
|--------|-------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|--------|
| | Bulge | tear | | Disc extrusion | Protrusion | |
| Male | 23 | 2 | | 5 | 6 | 36 |
| | 63.9% | 5.6% | | 13.9% | 16.7% | 100.0% |
| Female | 37 | 1 | | 7 | 15 | 60 |
| | 61.7% | 1.7% | | 11.7% | 25.0% | 100.0% |
| Total | 60 | 3 | | 12 | 21 | 96 |
| | 62.5% | 3.1% | | 12.5% | 21.9% | 100.0% |

*chi-square statistic = 1.910, p-value = 0.591 (significant association)



П.

III. DISCUSSION:

Our study showed:

- 1. There is significant association between intervertebral disc intensity and facet joint tropism (refer table no. 6).
- 2. Significant association is observed between degenerative disc diseases and facet joint tropism (refer table no. 7).
- 3. It is observed that there is significant mean difference of facet joint asymmetry found in various disc diseases (refer table no. 8).
- 4. It is observed that there is significant mean difference of facet joint asymmetry found in different inter-vertebral disc signal intensity (refer table no. 9).
- 5. Among cases with disc signal intensity of grade –I, it is observed that there is significant relation found between disc diseases and facet joint tropism (refer table no. 10).
- 6. Among cases with disc signal intensity of grade –II, it is observed that there is significant relation found between disc diseases and facet joint tropism (refer table no. 11).

7. There is a significant association observed between age group and degenerative disc diseases (refer table no. 15)

IV. CONCLUSION

Our study showed association between facet joint tropism and radiological signs of degenerative disc disease. Thusindicating the possible role of facet joint tropism in the evaluation of degenerative disc disease.

Ethical Approval:

The ethical clearance for this study was approved by the Srinivas Institute of Ethics Committee (SIEC) with the ethical approval number:SIEC/SIMS & RC/57/06/2024 (IRB number)

Informed Consent:

An informed consent could not be obtained as this is a retrospective study.



Conflicts of interest:The authors declare that we have no conflicts of interest. **Acknowledgements**:None

REFERENCES:

- [1]. Samartzis D, Cheung JPY, Rajasekaran S, Kawaguchi Y, Acharya S, Kawakami M, et al. Is lumbar facet joint tropism developmental or secondary to degeneration? An international, largescale multicenter study by the AOSpine Asia Pacific Research Collaboration Consortium. Scoliosis Spinal Disord . 2016;11(1):9.
- [2]. Kalichman L, Suri P, Guermazi A, Li L, Hunter DJ. Facet orientation and tropism: associations with facet joint osteoarthritis and degeneratives. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) . 2009 [cited 2022 Jul 25];34(16):E579-85.
- [3]. Ko S, Chae S, Choi W, Kim J-Y, Kwon J, Doh J. The prevalence of facet tropism and its correlation with low back pain in selected community-based populations. Clin OrthopSurg . 2019 [cited 2022 Jul 25];11(2):176–82.
- [4]. Rong X, Liu Z, Wang B, Pan X, Liu H. Relationship between facet tropism and facet joint degeneration in the sub-axial cervical spine. BMC MusculoskeletDisord . 2017;18(1).
- [5]. Schleich C, Müller-Lutz A, Blum K, Boos J, Bittersohl B, Schmitt B, et al. Facet tropism and facet joint orientation: risk factors for the development of early biochemical alterations of lumbar intervertebral discs. Osteoarthritis Cartilage . 2016;24(10):1761–8.
- [6]. Kim H-J, Chun H-J, Lee H-M, Kang K-T, Lee C-K, Chang B-S, et al. The biomechanical influence of the facet joint orientation and the facet tropism in the lumbar spine. Spine J. 2013;13(10):1301–8.
- [7]. Rai RR, Shah Y, Shah S, Palliyil NS, Dalvie S. A radiological study of the association of facet joint tropism and facet angulation with degenerative spondylolisthesis. Neurospine . 2019;16(4):742–7.