

Determination of the Impact of Smoking on the Apical Region of Adequately Root-Filled Teeth in Indian Males with and Without **Periodontal Disease: A Prospective Study**

Dr. Esha Rane (BDS), Dr. Jyoti Kiran (MDS), Dr. Neeraj Kumar, Dr. Mamta Singh,

Rural Dental College, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, District Ahmednagar, Maharashtra (India). Associate Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, BRS Dental college & Hospital Panchkula,

Himachal Pradesh, India.

Assistant Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, BRS Dental college & Hospital Panchkula, Himachal Pradesh, India.

Assistant Professor, Department of Periodontics and Oral Implantology, Kothiwal Dental College and Research Centre, Moradabad, U.P., India.

Submitted: 20-07-2021

_____ Revised: 29-07-2021

Accepted: 31-07-2021

ABSTRACT

One of the most intriguing elements facing the dentistry scientific community is the potential link between apical periodontitis (AP), periodontal disease (PD), and the poor habit of smoking. The goal of this study was to determine the impact of smoking on the apical region of adequately rootfilled teeth in Indian males with and without periodontal disease.

Materials and methods: A total of 75 patients were chosen, and their teeth were subdivided into six subgroups (G-a: light smokers without Periodontal involvement, G-b: heavy smokers without Periodontal involvement, G-c: nonsmokers without Periodontal involvement. G-d: light smokers with Periodontal involvement, G-e: heavy smokers with Periodontal involvement, G-f: non-smokers with Periodontal involvement, G-f The condition of periodontal tissue in the apical region (AP) of root canal treated teeth was assessed using panoramic and periapical radiography.

Results: Only 89 teeth out of 1859 were found to have both coronal and endodontic restorations in place. The following were the AP rates: (G-a: 11.1 percent , G-b: 25 percent , G-c: 0 percent , G-d: 18.8 percent, G-e: 35.7 percent, G-f: 4.8 percent). In terms of the AP, there was a statistically significant difference between heavy smokers' groups (G-b, G-e). Furthermore, there was a significant difference between heavy smokers with PD (G-e) and light smokers without PD (G-a) and non-smokers without PD (G-c), as well as a significant difference between heavy smokers without PD (G-b) and non-smokers with PD (G-c) (G-f). While there was no significant difference between non-smokers' groups (G-c, G-f) and light

smokers' groups (G-a, G-b), there was a significant difference between non-smokers' groups (G-c, G-f) and light smokers' groups (G-a, G-d).

Conclusion: Smoking has a significant detrimental impact on the severity and prognosis of AP, which is exacerbated when AP is accompanied by lateral periodontitis.

Keywords: periapical index, smoking, apical periodontitis, root canal therapy

I. INTRODUCTION

The infamous habit of smoking, as well as its damaging effects on human tissues, throws a shadow over numerous treatments and outcomes in dentistry and medicine. Endodontically treated teeth and their periodontal health are one of the treatments we'll look at in this study.^[1]Clinical markers of a hygienic periodontium include the lack of cardinal symptoms of inflammation, gingival recession, and attachment loss. Long-term inflammation caused by many forms of subgingival bacteria has a deleterious impact on the periodontium, resulting in diseased periodontal tissue.^[2]Nonetheless, the periodontal disease is affected by multiple etiological factors influence Parkinson's disease (PD), including age, gender, smoking, drugs, alcohol intake, and systemic disorders. The patient's behavior change and lifestyle improvement can help to eliminate or control PDs.^[3]

The basic goal of endodontic treatment is to heal the damaged periapical tissue and eliminate inflammation. The clinical indications that are intended to indicate pulpal or periapical inflammation are still ambiguous because they are not highly specific to the disease.4 Because cross-



sectional and longitudinal studies^[5] show that smoking has negative effects on periodontal bone and is a risk factor for periodontitis, it was assumed that it affects the apical periodontium of endodontically compromised teeth, worsening periapical bone destruction and increasing the size/and/or number of periapical lesions.^[6-8] In general, perio-endo lesions have two major sources of infection: periodontal (where the tooth is still vital) or endodontic (where the tooth is usually nonvital). However, vitality (pulp testing) alone is not enough to rule out the causative factor, especially in multi-rooted teeth, so mobility, palpation, percussion, radiographs, and fistula tracking are used. True conjugation between the two sources occurs in a few number of cases, and these are known as true Perio-endo lesions.9 It is difficult to know the origin of the lesion ahead of time, so endodontic therapy should be delivered first and the tooth put under observation before we attempt periodontal therapy, because bacteria that reside in the empty spaces of root canals can transfer to the teeth in most cases.^{10,11}

After the root canal has been properly sealed with filling material and sealant, the focus will shift to the periodontal status; if the lesion persists, it is of periodontal origin, and routine periodontal treatment will be administered. PDs, of which chronic periodontitis is the most common, have always been treated by disrupting microbial plaque aggregation on tooth surfaces. Even if there are actual Perio-endo lesions with both endodontic and periodontal causes of infection present at the same time, the treatment order will not change: endodontic first, periodontal second, etc.¹²⁻¹⁴

periodontitis Apical (AP) is an inflammatory condition that occurs at the root's apex and is caused by microbial infection of the pulp area. As a result, it's classified as a bacterial infection.15 Because the AP is complex and linked to a number of risk factors, the source of which can be intra-canal or extra-canal, it should be considered a potential Perio-endo lesion. The quality of endodontic fillings and coronal restoration are two risk factors for developing AP in endodontically treated teeth.16 In Europe, the prevalence of AP is as high as (34- percent) of individuals and (2.8–4.2 percent) of teeth.^{17,18} The goal of this study was to see how smoking behaviors affect the apical area status of adequate root-filled teeth in Indian men with and without periodontal disease.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study uses a cross-sectional observational methodology. Patients between the

ages of 18 and 45 who reported to the dental college in North India in 2019 were enlisted at random. They were clinically and radiographically assessed after their complete medical, dental, and endodontic histories were collected. Ethical Issues The study was conducted in conformity with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. All of the patients read the study's information and signed a letter of written consent for participation in research and data publication.

Criteria for Inclusion

1. With RCT, you can save at least one tooth.

2. Patients who are men.

3. RCT and coronal restoration teeth were fitted.

Criteria for Exclusion

1. To counteract the effects of hormonal fluctuations in female patients.

2. Patients with systemic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic liver disease, blood problems, and osteoporosis.

3. Patients having fewer than eight teeth.

- 4. The teeth of the third molar.
- 5. Patients who are mentally retarded.

6. Patients under the age of 18 or over 45 years old, due to age-related deterioration of oral hygiene, where plaque accumulation causes microbe introduction through the periodontium, resulting in PD.^{20,21}

7. RCT-unfitted teeth (over or under obturation) (using x-ray).

8. RCT-fitted teeth with a poor coronal repair (using x-ray).

Configuration of Patient Groups

The total number of patients having a history of root canal therapy (RCT) was initially 205, but after applying historical and clinical exclusion criteria, we were able to narrow it down to only 155. After a panoramic X-ray was taken and radiographic exclusion criteria were applied, the final included sample was 75 patients, who were divided into three groups (each with 25 patients) based on their smoking habits:

- 1. Group 1 (G1): 25 light smokers (less than 10 cigarettes per day).²²
- 2. Group 2 (G2): 25 heavy smokers (more than 10 cigarettes per day).
- 3. Group 3 (G3) consists of 25 non-smokers (Control).
- The teeth in each group were then separated into two subgroups (those without PD and those with PD) as follows:



1. Group a (G-a): Light smokers who do not have periodontal disease.

2. Group b (G-b): Heavy smokers who do not have periodontal disease.

3. Control group (G-c): No periodontal involvement.

4. Group d (G-d): Moderate smoker with periodontal disease.

5. Group e (G-e) consists of heavy smokers with periodontal disease.

6. Group f (G-f): Periodontal involvement with control.

RCT Teeth Presence

The first x-ray type taken to patients is panoramic radiography (OPG), which was taken by the "CarestreamR" instrument "model CS9000, USA" after getting a history of RCT from the patient. The OPG was used to display all teeth in a single x-ray, as well as to reduce the amount of time spent taking x-rays with intraoral periapical xray (PA x-ray) films in order to accomplish total mouth radiography (we need approximately 14 periapical x-rays at minimum to show all teeth while single OPG can give accepted information about RCT teeth). ^{23,24} The radiography was seen in a standardized manner, with all films being evaluated in the same amount of indoor illumination/light intensity (darkened room).

Radiographs were displayed on a computer screen with the light regulated using the "CarestreamR" software to achieve the best possible contrast.

Quality of RCTs

A panoramic radiograph was used to identify teeth with RCT and primary assessment,25 and the quality of the RCT was later re-assessed with a second x-ray, a PA x-ray, to reach a high degree evaluation since PA x-ray quality is superior to OPG quality.^{23,26} Periapical x-ray taken with "CarestreamR RVG5200, USA" sensor and "CarestreamR" software. The parallel technique was applied, in which digital film holders were used to fine-tune the alignment of the film/tooth to eliminate picture elongation or shortening in all patients.

Only degree 1 (adequate root canal filling) was accepted in the study (where gutta-percha ends at 2mm away from the apex on x-ray film), but degrees 2 and 3 were afterwards excluded (degree 2: gutta-percha ends shorter than 2mm away from radiographical apex, degree 3: over-filling as the filling material extends beyond the radiographic apex).²⁵

Assessing the Coronary Fillings

Clinical examination with a dental mirror, sickle dental probe, and afterwards OPG was performed to rule out the fitting of the coronal filling where the unified restoration contained it (overhang, open margin, recurrent caries, temporary filling or no filling).

A William's periodontal probe (Hu-Friedy, UK) was used to measure indices of (PI, GI, PPD, CAL) for the selected teeth, while the Nabers probe was also utilized to measure furcation involvement (Hu-Friedy, UK).

1. Probing pocket depth (PPD): From the base of the pocket to the free gingival border, the PPD was measured to the nearest mm. A tooth with a PPD of less than 4 mm was classified as having PD.^[28]

2. Clinical attachment loss (CAL): From the junction (CEJ) to the base of the pocket, the CAL was calculated. A tooth with a CAL of less than 2 mm was considered to have PD.^[28]

3. Gingival recession (GR): The GR was the measurement of the distance between the CEJ and the gingival margin. 29 (Grade I: 3mm, Grade II: 3–4mm, Grade III: >4mm) are the GR grades. Any tooth with one of the aforementioned grades was regarded as having PD.³⁰

4. Posterior tooth furcation involvement (FI): The furcation degrees are (Degrees I: horizontal loss of periodontal tissue support less than 3mm, Degree II: horizontal loss of periodontal tissue support exceed- ing 3mm but not entirely passing the total width of furcation area, Degree III: horizontal through and through the destruction of periodontal tissue in the furcation). Any tooth with one of the degrees above is deemed to have PD.³¹

5. Tooth mobility (TM) is divided into three categories: 0.2–1 mm horizontal crown motion, higher than 1 mm horizontal crown motion, and horizontal and vertical crown motion. A tooth with degrees 2 and 3 is referred to as a PD tooth. 32

The severity of the following abnormalities was not taken into account, and once a tooth showed any of the PD signs, it was classified as a PD tooth in a dichotomous 1/0 manner.

Periapical Tissue Evaluation

The periapical state of each tooth was evaluated and measured using a PA x-ray and the Periapical Index (PAI). Grades 1-2 indicated healthy teeth, but grades 3-5 indicated the existence of AP, according to PAI. The poorest grade of all roots was taken in multi-rooted teeth. If there was any question, a higher grade was given. Orstavik et al. categorised the PAI index as follows in 1986: 25

(a) Grade 1 (Gr1): Periapical structures that are normal.



(b) Grade 2 (Gr2): Minor periapical bone alterations or structural changes suggestive but not pathognomonic for AP.

(c) Grade 3 (Gr3): Periapical bone structural changes with some loss of mineral content characteristic of AP

(d) Grade 4 (Gr4): Periodontitis with a welldefined radiolucent region or well-defined radiolucency, periapical bone demineralization.

(e) Grade 5 (Gr5): Periapical bone demineralization, worsening periodontitis, or radiolucency with radiating expansions of bone structural alterations.

The Examiners' Calibration

The radiographs and periodontal characteristics were assessed by two highly skilled and trained observers with over 5 years of clinical experience (Inter-examiner agreement for scores of two observers).

Statistical analysis

In this study, descriptive statistics in the form of means were collected using the computer software IBM® SPSS® version 21. To compare groups in general, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was utilized. In addition to the least significant difference (LSD) calculation that was used to compare the means of the six subgroups. Furthermore, P0.05 was accepted as the level of significance (S), P0.01 as highly significant (HS), and P0.05 as non-significant (NS).

III. RESULTS

Our study included 75 patients who were separated into three groups of 25 patients each. The total number of teeth evaluated was 1859 (G1: 607, G2: 627, G3: 625), the number of missing teeth was 241 (G1: 93, G2: 73, G3: 75), and the number of RCT teeth was 235. (12.64 percent from all examined teeth) Table 1

Variable		No. (%)			1	
G1 (Light Smoker)	G2 (Heavy S	moker)	G3 (Control)	Total		
Examined te	eth	607 (32.65%)	627 (33.739	6)	625 (33.62%)	1859 (100%)
Missing teet	h	93 (38.59%)	73 (30.29%))	75 (31.12%)	241 (100%)
RCT teeth		70 (29.79%)	91 (38.72%))	74 (31.49%)	235 (100%)
Fitted RCT t	eeth	27 (28.13%)	35 (36.46%))	34 (35.42%)	96 (100%)
Under RCT	teeth	43 (32.82%)	50 (38.17%))	38 (29.01%)	131 (100%)
Over RCT te	eeth	0 (0.00%)	6 (75.00%)		2 (25.00%)	8 (100%)
Fitted coron teeth	al restoration	25 (28.09%)	32 (35.96%))	32 (35.96%)	89 (100%)
Unfitted restoration te	coronal eeth	2 (28.57%)	3 (42.86%)		2 (28.57%)	7 (100%)
	ation without involvement	9 (37.50%)	4 (16.67%)		11 (45.83%)	24 (27%)
	oration with involvement	16 (24.62%)	28 (43.08%))	21 (32.31%)	65 (73%)

Table 1 Prevalence of Variables in All Three Main Groups



Variable	RCT of all examined teeth	Fitted RCT teeth of all RCT teeth	Fitted RCT, coronal restoration		Fitted RCT, coronal restoration without periodontal involvement teeth	Fitted RCT, coronal restoration with periodontal involvement teeth
Of all fitted RCT teeth	Of all examined teeth					
No. (%)	235 (12.64%)	96 (40.85%)	89 (92.71%)	89 (4.79%)	24 (26.97%)	65 (73.03%)

Only 96 teeth had fitting endodontic treatment (G1: 27, G2: 35, G3: 34), 131 teeth had under root canal teeth (G1: 43, G2: 50, G3: 38), and 8 teeth had an over endodontic filling among the RCT teeth (G1: 0, G2: 6, G3: 2) Table 1

There were 89 teeth with fitted coronal restorations (92.71 percent of all RCT teeth, 4.79 percent of all examined teeth) compared to 7 teeth with unfitted coronal restorations (G1: 25, G2: 32, G3: 32). (G1: 2, G2: 3, G3: 2) Table 1

In Table 1, we discovered that 24 teeth (26.97%) of fitted restorations had no periodontal involvement, while 65 teeth (73.03%) had periodontal involvement.

Table 2 shows that the highest rate of periodontal parameters (PPD, CAL, GR, FI, TM) was discovered in G2, the lowest rate of PPD and CAL was found in G1, the lowest rate of GR and TM was found in G3, and the lowest rate of FI was found in both G1 and G3.

Percentages and No. of Periodontal Parameters in All Three Main Groups									
Groups	Periodontal	eriodontal Parameters							
PPD	CAL	GR	FI	ТМ	Total				
G1 Light smoker	16 (28.1%)	16 (7.7%)	1 (24.6%)	1 (16.7%)	1 (25%)	35 (24.1%)			
G2 Heavy smoker	21 (36.8%)	28 (43.1%)	9 (69.2%)	4 (66.7%)	3 (75%)	65 (44.8%)			
G3 Control	20 (35.1%)	21 (32.3%)	3 (23.1%)	1 (16.7%)	0 (0%)	45 (31%)			
Total	57 (100%)	65 (100%)	13 (100%)	6 (100%)	4 (100%)	145 (100%)			

Table 2

The lowest AP grade, Gr5, was not seen in all groups. In addition, the Grs4 was not found in all groups, with the exception of G-e and G-d, which had rates of (7.1 percent and 6.3 percent, respectively) as shown in Table 3. In general, the rates of AP were (11.1 percent) for G-a, (25 percent) for G-b, (0 percent) for G-c, (18.8 percent) for G-d, (35.7 percent) for G-e, (4.8 percent) for G-f as shown in Table 3.

Table 3
Percentages and No. of Grades of PAI in All Subgroups (G-a, G-b, G-c, G-d, G-e, G-f)

Groups	Grades	rades						
	Healthy	Healthy						
Gr1	Gr2	Gr3	Gr4	Gr5	Total			
G-a Light smokers without Perio	6	2 (22.2%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (100%)		
	(66.7%)							
(88.9%)		(11.1%)						
G-b Heavy smokers without Perio	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)		
(75%)		(25%)						
G-c Control without Perio	10	1 (9.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (100%)		
	(90.9%)							
(100%)	•	(0%)		•				
G-d Light smokers with Perio	10	3 (18.8%)	2 (12.5%)	1 (6.3%)	0 (0%)	16 (100%)		

DOI: 10.35629/5252-0304450458

|Impact Factorvalue 6.18| ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 454



International Journal Dental and Medical Sciences Research

Volume 3, Issue 4, July-Aug 2021 pp 450-458 www.ijdmsrjournal.com ISSN: 2582-6018

	(62.5%)					
(81.2%)		(18.8%)				
G-e Heavy smokers with Perio	14 (50%)	4 (14.3%)	8 (28.6%)	2 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	28 (100%)
(64.3%)		(35.7%)				
G-f Control with Perio	17 (81%)	3 (14.3%)	1 (4.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	21 (100%)
(95.2%)		(4.8%)	•			
Total	59 (66.3%)	14 (15.7%)	13 (14.6%)	3 (3.4%)	0 (0%)	89 (100%)
(82%)	· ·	(18%)	•	•		

The Gr3 of AP did not appear in only one group which was the G-c group. Whereas the remaining groups showed different rates. The highest rates appeared in heavy smokers groups (Ge, G-b); (28.6 percent, 25 percent) respectively, while the light smokers groups (G-d, G-a) showed lessor rates of Gr3 than heavy smokers groups; (12.5 percent, 11.1 percent) respectively, while the least rate of Gr3 appeared in Gf (4.8 percent) Table 3.

The G-c showed a healthy apical area, where only contain on Grs1 and 2; (90.9 percent, 9.1 percent), respectively, Table 3.

The rates of Gr1 appeared in highest rate in non- smokers group (G-c, G-f); (90.9 percent,

81 percent) and to lessor rate in light smokers groups (G-a, G-d); (66.7 percent , 62.5 percent) respectively, while the least rate appeared in heavy smokers groups (G-b, G-e); (50 percent) Table 3.

Moreover, the rates of Gr2 appeared in highest rate in smokers without periodontal involvement groups (G-b, G-a,); (25 percent, 22.2 percent), and to lesser rate in periodontal involvement groups (G-d, G-e, G-f); (18.8 percent, 14.3 percent, 14.3 percent) respectively, while the least rate of Gr2 was appeared in G-c (9.1 percent) Table 3.

In Table 4, there is a (S) difference among groups according to the ANOVA test.

ANOVA Test for Means of 6 Subgroups (G-a, G-b, G-c, G-d, G-e, G-f)								
	Sum of Squares (SS)	df	Mean Squares (MS)	F-Test	P-value	Sig.		
Between Groups	15.153	5	3.031	2.644	0.026	S		
Within Groups	165.040	144	1.146					
Total	180.193	149						

Table 4	
ANOVA Test for Means of 6 Subgroups (G-a, G-b, G-c, G-d, G-e, G-f)	

As shown in Table 5, the LSD test showed a (NS) difference between light smokers without PD (G-a) and othergroups (G-b, G-c, G-d, and G-f) except for a sole (S) difference between G-a and non-smoker without PD (G-c), Table 5. There was a (S) difference between heavy smokers without PD (G-b) and non-smoker with PD (G-f). Also, the same result obtained when compared the G-c with G-e as showed in Table 5.

Table 5						
LSD of 6 Subgroups (G-a, G-b, G-c, G-d, G-e, G-	f)					

Groups		Mean Difference	SE	P-value	Sig.
Light smokers without Perio (G-a)	Heavy smokers without Perio (G-b)	0.200	0.302	0.510	NS
	Control without Perio (G-c)	-0.080	0.302	0.792	NS
	Light smokers with Perio (G-d)	-0.280	0.302	0.357	NS
	Heavy smokers with Perio (G-e)	-0.760	0.302	0.013	S
Control with Perio (G-f)	-0.480	0.302	0.115	NS	

|Impact Factorvalue 6.18| ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 455



Groups		Mean Difference	SE	P-value	Sig.
Heavy smokers without Perio (G-b)	Control without Perio (G-c)	-0.280	0.302	0.357	NS
	Light smokers with Perio (G-d)	-0.480	0.302	0.115	NS
	Heavy smokers with Perio (G-e)	-0.960	0.302	0.002	HS
Control with Perio (G-f)	-0.680	0.302	0.026	S	
Control without Perio (G-c)	Light smokers with Perio (G-d)	-0.200	0.302	0.510	NS
	Heavy smokers with Perio (G-e)	-0.680	0.302	0.026	S
Control with Perio (G-f)	-0.400	0.302	0.189	NS	
Light smokers with Perio (G-d)	Heavy smokers with Perio (G-e)	-0.480	0.302	0.115	NS
Control with Perio (G-f)	-0.200	0.302	0.510	NS	
Heavy smokers with Perio (G-e)	Control with Perio (G-f)	0.280	0.302	0.357	NS

Whereas comparing between two heavy smokers' groups (G-b, G-e), the result showed (HS) difference Table 5.

While comparing of G-b with groups (G-c and G-d) the result gave (NS) difference, same result of the comparison between G-c with G-d, and too, when comparing the

G-d with groups (G-e, G-f), and also when comparing G-e with G-f as shown in Table 5.

IV. DISCUSSION

In India, most of the researchers care about the estimation of the effect of smoking on the periodontium, but there is little information about the AP itself. However, there are no data on this topic in the Iraqi population. Our results came in agreement with other authors like (Ali et al 2013, Correia-Sousaa et al 2015, and Peršić Bukmir et al 2016) regarding smoking negative role in AP,33– 35 alongside with other authors; knowing that smoking alters the microvas- culature and the function of immune system, the cadmium that is found in cigarettes also consumes the Super Oxide dismutase protective enzyme which is an antioxidant- in blood and saliva, by replacing the Manganese,

Although some authors (Bergström et al 2004, Bahammam 2012, Balto et al 2019) reached different results where the development of AP was irrelevant to smoking habit6,36,37 suggesting that the development of AP in RCT teeth is multifactorial.

Coronal restoration is the crucial factor since failure of the proper coronal seal might cause seepage of infection towards deeper points apically even if the endodontic treatment was performed carefully,²⁶ while other authors like

The endodontic treatment itself is multifactorial too because it passes through instrumentation, irrigation, and lastly obturation, unfortunately, we cannot trace the first two procedures because its operator-dependent and undocumentable, so we depended on the quality of obturation obtained from OPG's and later from PA X-ray to judge the quality of RCT.

Intentionally we only chose the endodontically properly filled teeth to neutralize the remaining bacterial infection in the canals factor and the insult caused by the excess/overfilling materials, this way we can observe the effect of smoking alone in the development of AP.

Assessment of periodontal condition included both radiographical and clinical measures, as AP cannot be seen with the naked eye, the PA xray proved to be a potent way for examination.

The periodontal apparatus is an interlinked system, it even manifests itself radiographically as a continuous thin radiolucency surrounding the tooth, so it is not uncommon to witness a communication between the lateral and peri-apical periodontal tissue of smokers, inflammation and/or infection in one region can affect the other and vice-versa, this was apparent in the results as teeth of smokers with lateral



periodontal involvement (clinical attachment loss, active pocket) had AP too but this relationship was not significant in the absence of smoking; thus, there was a synergistic relationship between smoking and lateral periodontal involvement on the persistence of AP.

The location of these accessories and lateral canals are in coronal, middle, furcation and apical third, so if any inflammation to periodontium due to PD like loss of attachment or actively inflamed pocket or GR can lead to advancement and pushing of bacteria through these routes to pulp space, so the inflammation inside pulp was spread to all areas and finally reach to periodontal tissue in the apical region and result in AP.

The heavy smokers with periodontal involvement showed a higher rate of periodontal parameters than other groups due to the effect of smoking on periodontium as discussed in the past paragraph.

Not only that the cause of both AP and PD share the same agent (G – anaerobic bacteria) but the two diseases are involved in elevation cytokine levels as well as inflammatory mediators. Smoking, on the other hand, is not far from participating in developing AP, by affecting immunity and periodontal tissue integrity. It also disturbs the balance between the reactive oxidase species and its buffers; the anti- oxidants. Such a condition of imbalance will affect the lipids in membranes, intracellular proteins, and most importantly damage to DNA, leading inevitably into cellular death.³³

V. CONCLUSION

The results showed a negative, harmful effect of smoking with or without periodontal involvement on the apical status of endodontically treated teeth.

The combination of smoking and periodontal effects was higher than the smoking effect alone.

The continuous daily consumption of cigarettes for a long period of more than 5 years increases the possibility of AP especially RCT teeth.

REFERENCES

- Jang A, Lee J, Shin J, Lee H. Association between smoking and periodontal disease in Korean adults: the fifth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2010 and 2012). Korean J Fam Med. 2016;37(2):117–122. doi:10.4082/kjfm.2016.37.2.117
- [2]. Hoare A, Soto C, Rojas-Celis V, Bravo D. Chronic inflammation as a link between periodontitis and carcinogenesis. Mediators

Inflamm. 2019;2019:1–14. doi:10.1155/2019/1029857

- [3]. Madiba TK, Bhayat A. Periodontal disease risk factors and treatment options. SADJ. 2018;73(9):571–575.
- [4]. Hyman JJ, Cohen ME. The predictive value of endodontic diagnostic tests. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1984;58(3):343–346. doi:10.1016/0030-4220(84)90065-3
- [5]. Bergstrom J, Eliasson S, Dock J. A 10-year prospective study of tobacco smoking and periodontal health. J Periodontol. 2000;71 (8):1338–1347. doi:10.1902/jop.2000.71.8.1338
- [6]. Bergstrom J, Babcan J, Eliasson S. Tobacco smoking and dental periapical condition. Eur J Oral Sci. 2004;112(2):115–120. doi:10.1111/eos.2004.112.issue-2
- [7]. Martinez-Canut P, Lorca A, Magan R. Smoking and periodontal dis- ease severity. J ClinPeriodontol. 1995;22(10):743–749. doi:10.1111/cpe.1995.22.issue-10
- [8]. Haber J, Wattles J, Crowley M, et al. Evidence for cigarette smoking as a major risk factor for periodontitis. J Periodontol. 1993;64 (1):16–23. doi:10.1902/jop.1993.64.1.16
- [9]. Shenoy N, Shenoy A. Endo-perio lesions: diagnosis and clinical considerations. Indian J Dent Res. 2010;21(4):579–585. doi:10.4103/0970-9290.74238
- [10]. Alquthami H, Almalik AM, Alzahrani FF, Badawi L. Successful management of teeth with different types of endodonticperiodontal lesions. Case Rep Dent. 2018;29(2018):7084245.
- [11]. Oh S, Chung SH, Han JY. Periodontal regenerative therapy in endo-periodontal lesions: a retrospective study over 5 years. J Periodontal Implant Sci. 2019;49(2):90–104. doi:10.5051/jpis.2019.49.2.90
- [12]. AbdulAzeez AR, Mahmood MS, Ali WM. Phototoxic effect of visible blue light on aggregatibacteractinomycetemcomitans and porphyromonasgingivalis in patients with chronic periodontitis (an in-vitro study). J Bagh College Dentistry. 2015;27(1):144– 150.
- [13]. Vishwanath V, Rao HM, Prasad BSK, Shashikala K. Successful endodontic management of endo-period lesions with different treat- ment modalities: case series. SRM J Res Dent 2019;10(2):105–109.
- [14]. Khaled Y, Pahuja BK. Condition requiring endodontic treatment to maintain the



integrity of periodontium. J Dent Maxillofacial Res. 2019;2(3):54–58.

- [15]. Siqueira JRJF, Rocas IN. Present status and future directions in endodontic microbiology. Endodontic Topics. 2014;30:3–22.
- [16]. Estrela C, Leles CR, Hollanda AC, Moura MS, Pécora JD. Prevalence and risk factors of apical periodontitis in endodontically treated teeth in a selected population of Brazilian Adults. Braz Dent J. 2008;19(1):34–39.
- [17]. Jimenez-Pinzon A, Segura-Egea JJ, Poyato-Ferrera M, Velasco-Ortega E, Rios-Santos JV. Prevalence of apical periodontitis and frequency of root-filled teeth in an adult Spanish population. IntEndod J. 2004;37:167–173. doi:10.1111/j.0143-2885.2004.00759.x
- [18]. Lopez-Lopez J, Jane-Salas E, Estrugo-Devesa A, et al. Frequency and distribution of root-filled teeth and apical periodontitis in an adult population of Barcelona, Spain. Int Dent J. 2012;62(1):40–46. doi:10.1111/j.1875-595X.2011.00087.x
- [19]. Khalighinejad N, Aminoshariae MR, Aminoshariae A, Kulild JC, Mickel A, Fouad AF. Association between systemic diseases and apical periodontitis. J Endod. 2016;42(10):1427–1434. doi:10.1016/ j.joen.2016.07.007
- [20]. Rao S, Thanikachala S, Sathiyasekaran B, Vamsi L, Balaji T, Jagannathan R. Prevalence and risk indicators for attachment loss in an urban population of South India. OHDM. 2014;13(1):1–5.
- [21]. Hussein HM, Mahmood AA, Alberaqdar FA. The prevalence and relationship of root caries depth and gingival recession among dif- ferent Iraqi groups. MDJ. 2015;12(1):144–155.
- [22]. Maffei G, Brouwer N, Dolman KM, Van der Velden U, Roos D, Loos BG. Plasma levels of mannan-binding lectin in relation to periodontitis and smoking. J Periodontol. 2005;76(11):1881–1889. doi:10.1902/jop.2005.76.11.1881
- [23]. Ridao-Sacie C, Segura-Egea JJ, Fernández-Palacín A, Bullón- Fernández P, Ríos-Santos JV. Radiological assessment of periapical status using the periapical index: comparison of periapical radiography and digital panoramic radiography. IntEndod J. 2007;40(6):433–440. doi:10.1111/iej.2007.40.issue-6

- [24]. Sopińska K, Bołtacz-Rzepkowska E. The influence of tobacco smok- ing on dental periapical condition in a sample of an adult population of the Łódź region, Poland. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2019;1–13.
- [25]. Orstavik D, Kerekes K, Eriksen HM. The periapical index: a scoring system for radiographic assessment of apical periodontitis. Endod Dent Traumatol. 1986;2(1):20–34. doi:10.1111/j.1600-9657.1986.tb00119.x
- [26]. Estrela C, Leles CR, Hollanda ACB, Moura MS, Prevalence PJ. Risk factors of apical periodontitis in endodontically treated teeth in a population of Brazilian adults. Braz Dent J. 2008;19(1):34–39. doi:10.1590/S0103-64402008000100006
- [27]. Alafif H. Impact of the quality of coronal restoration and root canal filling on the periapical health in adult syrian subpopulation. Indian J Dent. 2014;5(2):75– 80. doi:10.4103/0975-962X.135265 Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry 2019:11
- [28]. Gunsolley JC, Quinn SM, Tew J, Gooss CM, Brooks CN, Schenkein HA. The effect of smoking on individuals with minimal periodontal destruction. J Periodontol. 1998;69:165–170. doi:10.1902/jop.1998.69.2.165
- [29]. Marini GM, GreeghiSebastie AL, Passanezi E, Santana Adriana PC. Gingival recession: prevalence, extension and severity in adults. J Appl Oral Sci. 2004;12(3):250–255. doi:10.1590/S1678-77572004000300017
- [30]. Miller JRPD. A classification of marginal tissue recession. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 1985;5(2):8–13.
- [31]. Hamp SE, Nyman S, Lindhe J. Periodontal treatment of multirooted teeth. Results after 5 years. J ClinPeriodontol. 1975;2:126–135. doi:10.1111/j.1600-051X.1975.tb01734.x
- [32]. Nyman S, Lindhe J, Lundgren D. The role of occlusion for the stability of fixed bridges in patients with reduced periodontal tissue support. J ClinPeriodontol. 1975;2(2):53–66. doi:10.1111/cpe.1975.2.issue-2
- [33]. Ali BJ, Ibrahim LM, Majid AY. Periodontal health status of heavy and light smokers and its correlation with salivary superoxide dismutase enzyme (A comparative study). J Bagh College Dentistry. 2013;25(3):97–102. doi:10.12816/0015004