

# **Evaluation of Traumatic Knee Joint Injuries by Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Rural Population.**

Dr. B. Ooha<sup>1</sup>, Dr.B.R. Nagaraj, MDRD, DMRD, FICR<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(Third year postgraduate, Dept of Radio-Diagnosis, GEMS and Hospital, Andhra Pradesh) <sup>2</sup>(Professor and HOD, Dept of Radio-Diagnosis, GEMS and Hospital, Andhra Pradesh)

Submitted: 10-08-2021	Revised: 27-08-2021	Accepted: 29-08-2021

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:**Knee joint is a major weight bearing and largest joint that provides mobility and stability as well as balance while standing.It is a biggest joint of the human body with complex articulation characterized by the presence of ligamentous and meniscal structures that play an important role in wide range of functions and thus bone and soft tissue of knee are at risk of injuries.Magnetic

resonanceimaging deu to its excellent soft tissue contrast resolution provides significant advantages over other imaging techniques in the evaluation of traumatic injuries of knee joint.

Aim of the study: To evaluate the frequency and different types of ligament ,meniscal injuries and other related findings associated with knee trauma using MRI.

**Material and methods:** It is an observational study with atotal number of 100 patients referred with history of knee injury were imaged with 1.5 Tesla GE –Signa MRI machine in the Department of

Radiology,GREAT EASTERN MEDICAL SCHOOL AND HOSPITAL, Srikakulam over a period of 18 months.

**Results:**Commonest injuries detected in the present study are meniscal tears ,complete anterior cruciate ligament tears,bone contusions and partial cruciate ligament tears. Majority of the patients

Weremale 80% and females 20% .Clinical presentation and radiographs of the patient did not help in diagnosis, especially in multiple ligament and bone injuries. Magnetic resonance imaging detected

soft tissueinjuries very well in addition to the bony injuries.

**Conclusion:**Magnetic resonance imaging of the knee is the excellent non invasive investigation tool for knee injury due to excellent contrast resolution and multiplanar imaging capabilities which

provide he detailed evaluation in cases of various soft tissue injuries of knee joint.

**KEYWORDS-**MRI,Anterior cruciate ligament,Posterior cruciate ligament,Medial and lateral collateral ligaments,Medial and lateral patellar retinaculum

## I. INTRODUCTION

Thekneejointisabiggestjointofthehumanbo dywithcomplexarticulationcharacterizedbytheprese nce of ligamentous and meniscal structures that play an important role in the stability and mobility. MRI due to its excellents of ttissue contrast resolutionandmultiplanarimagingcapabilitiesprovid essignificant advantages over other imaging techniques in the evaluation of traumatic injuries of kneejoint. It represents a non-invasive and radiation-free technique . It plays a crucial role in the diagnosis and monitoring of traumatic knee injuries. MRI has also been demonstrated as a cost effective technique by reducing unnecessary surgical and arthroscopic intervention. The frequency, diversity and severity of li gamentandmeniscusinjuriesoccurespeciallyintheyo ungand sportsmen,

associated withsignificant morbidity, frequently need surgical treatment and extensive rest. Joint injury has been recognized as a potent risk factor for the onset of osteoarthritis. Early detection of kneein juries is extremely important opreventlong-

termconsequencesofdelayedtreatment.Theeffectivet oolforthedefinition,characterization,andevaluationo fthepathologyofkneeinjurieshasbeenthemagneticres onanceimaging.

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

It is a observational study with a totalnumberof100patientsreferredwithhistoryofknee injurywere imaged with 1.5 Tesla GE-signa MRI machine in theDepartment of Radiology, Great Eastern Medical School andHospital,Srikakulamoveraperiodof18months.

#### Inclusioncriteria

:Patientsreferredwithhistoryofkneeinjury.



#### **Exclusion criteria :**

1.All patients who did not give consent to be a part of the study.

2. Patients with ferromagnetic implants, pacemakers, cochlear implants and aneurismal clips.

3. Degenerative arthritis, infection, neoplasm and any previous surgery to the knee.

**Examination technique :**The examination was done using 1.5 Tesla GE-signa MRI machine using a dedicated knee coil.The imaging system is enclosed in a radio frequency room.

#### Method

After obtaining informed consent, general dataregarding age, sex, symptoms, history of presentillness, past and personal history, etc.werenoted.Patient was placed in supine position and feet first in

#### **Imaging findings**

MR imager, with knee to be imaged in approximately 15-20-degree external rotation to aid the imaging of anterior cruciate ligament in the sagittal

plane.Withthekneecoilandlargefieldofview(FOV),

T1,T2&Protondensity(PD)-weighted images acquired in axial, sagittal or coronal planes. Imagingprotocolsforevaluationofpatientsinclude:A xial&coronalT2FRFSE,axial&coronalT1FSE and PDFS/

STIR-axial,coronal&sagittal images.Thin (3mm)sectionsobtainedwithaminimalinterslicegap.

#### III. DATA ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis of the data was performed byusingMicrosoftExcel.Datawasrepresented in the for rmoffrequencies and percentages with the help of tables, bar diagrams.



Sagittal PDFS image showing complete ACL tear





Sagittal PDFS image showing complete ACL tear with disruption of fibres



Coronal STIR and sagittal PDFS images showing partial tear of ACL.





Coronal STIR image showing sprain of medial collateral ligament



Coronal STIR image showing rupture of lateral collateral ligament





Axial PDFS image showing lateral patellar retinaculum tear

Axial PDFS image showing medial patellar retinaculum tear with dislocated patella







Axial PDFS image showing chondromalacia patella changes



Coronal STIR and Sagittal PDFS images showing the osteochondral defect in medial femoral condyle in a patient with knee trauma.



# IV. RESULTS:





0-20 years	18
21-40 years	55
41-60 years	26
>60 years	1

# Number of cases according to age distribution.

# Various findings in 100 patients.

PartialACLtear	46
CompleteACLtear	50
PartialPCLtear	12
CompletePCLtear	3
Medialcollateralligamentsprain	6
Lateralcollateralligamentsprain	5
Medialpatellarligamentsprain	6
Lateralpatellarligamentsprain	1
Patellartendoninjury	1
Meniscaltears	74
Bonecontusions	32
Osteochondraldefect	2



International Journal Dental and Medical Sciences Research

Volume 3, Issue 4, July-Aug 2021 pp 853-861 www.ijdmsrjournal.com ISSN: 2582-6018

Chondromalaciapatellachanges	3
Fractures	11
Hemarthrosis	4

### V. **DISCUSSION:**

Thekneeisananatomicallyandbiomechanic allycomplexjoint. The single most common indication of performing a

kneeMRIistodiagnoseinternalderangementsinan injuredknee.Inthepresentstudy,maleoutnumberedfe male,as80% of the patients were male and 20% were fem ale with male to female with most commonly involved a ge

groupbeing20-

40years.Lesscommonlyinvolvedagegroupisabove60 years.Inbothmalesandfemales,partialanteriorcruciat eligamenttearbeingmorecommon.Meniscaltearsare mostcommonlyassociatedasitinvolves74% oftotalca ses.Jointeffusionsandbonycontusionsarenextcommo nlyassociatedfindingswith44% and32% respectively. Overall the present study showed that, MRI of the knee joint has wide approach for the diagnosis oftraumatickneeinjuries.Thismakesitasapractical,we ll

acceptedandaccuratenoninvasiveimagingtechnique in patients presenting with injury to knee joint and is the modality of choice in clinicallysuspectedcasesofsofttissue injurywhereplainradiographsarenormal.

### VI. CONCLUSION

Magneticresonanceimagingofthekneeistheexcellent noninvasiveinvestigationtoolforkneeinjury due to excellent contrast resolution and multiplanar imaging capabilities

which

provides the most detailed evaluation in cases of various soft tissue injuries of

 $\label{eq:constraint} knee joint. MRI is unique in its ability to evaluate the ligaments, menisci, articular cartilage,$ 

articularcapsuleandbonemarrow.MRIprovidesimpo rtantclinicalinformationthatguidespatientmanageme ntandtreatmentplanning.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Rajesh Umap, BijpuriyaAnurag, SachinBagale, NavidShattari. Evaluation of traumatic kneejointinjurieswithMRI.InternationalJourn alofContemporaryMedicineSurgeryandRadi ology.2018;3(3):C77-C81.
- [2]. KijowskiR,RoemerF,EnglundM,TideriusCJ,S wärdP,FrobellRB.Imagingfollowingacutekne etrauma.Vol.22,OsteoarthritisandCartilage.2 014.p.1429–43.
- [3]. YadavRandKachewarSG.RoleofMRIinevalu ationofpainfulknee.IJMRHS.2014;3(1):84-87.
- [4]. SanchesVazCE,PiresDeCamargoO,JoséDeS antanaP,ValeziAC.Accuracyofmagneticreso nanceinidentifyingtraumaticintraarticularkne elesions.Clinics.2005;60(6):445–50.
- [5]. HayesCW,BrigidoMK,JamadarDA,Propeck T.MechanismbasedPatternApproachtoClassification of Complex Injuries of the Knee Depicted at MR Imaging. Radiographics, October2000,20(S1):121-134.
- [6]. MacMahon PJ, Palmer WE. A biomechanical approach to MRI of acute knee injuries. Am J Roentgenol 2011;197(3):568-77.
- [7]. Clayton RAE, Court-Brown CM. The epidemiology of musculoskeletal tendinous and ligamentous injuries. Injury 2008;39(12):1338-44.