

Management of Maxillary Transverse Discrepancy - Miniscrew Assisted Rapid Palatal Expansion vs Surgically Assisted Rapid Palatal Expansion

¹Relhan Ashima, ²Relhan Nikhil, ³Chaudhary Harshvardhan, ⁴Ranjan Prakash,

Pacific Dental College and Hospital, Udaipur, Rajasthan. Pacific Dental College and Hospital, Udaipur, Rajasthan. College of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Ahmedabad, Gujarat. Oral and Dental Clinic, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda, Bihar. Corresponding Author: Relhan Ashima

Submitted: 10-11-2021

Revised: 25-11-2021

Accepted: 28-11-2021

ABSTRACT: This review compared the skeletal and dental changes of miniscrew assisted rapid palatal expansion (MARPE) with those produced by surgically assisted rapid palatal expansion (SARPE) and rapid paltal expansion (RPE). The studies in the literature published on the effects of RPE, MARPE, and SARPE were searched, evaluated, and compared. Cone beam computed Tomography is recorded before and after the procedure to identify the skeletal and dental effects of the appliances. MARPE leads to parallel suture opening and higher skeletal expansion. SARPE leads to triangular suture opening similar to RPE. This review will discuss the three procedures and their findings in detail.

KEYWORDS:MARPE, SARPE, RPE, suture.

I. INTRODUCTION

The management of transverse maxillary constriction with rapid palatal expansion (RPE) is mostly indicated inmixed dentition cases until the period of adolescenece.¹ The prognosis of RPE is dependent on the extent of interdigitation of the maxillary suture, and its effect is reciprocally related to the success of expansion, which means, that the higher the amount of interdigitation and the greater the synostoses at the sutures, the lesser are the changes of the splitting of the maxilla without an additional surgical procedure. The surgical procedure to expand the maxilla is known as surgically assisted rapid palatal expansion (SARPE).^{2,3}

In young adults and adolescents after the pubertal growth spurt with advanced skeletal maturity, the effects of non-surgical RPE differ consideratbly.¹ It has been showed that there are higher success rates of RPE in young population and the success rates decreased with increasing age up to 18 years and 21 years.^{4,5} Several complications have been shown in the literature

due to the conventional tooth-borne RPE approach, such as pain, swelling, buccal root resorption of supporting teeth, buccal cortical plate and bone resorption, and bone dehiscne.^{4,6-8} Some studies have also shown ischemia, and necrosis in the palatal mucosa when maxillary sutures do not positive react due to the transverse-forces with a RPE.⁸

The reason for the failure of RPE is because of the higher rigidity of the craniofacial structures in patients who are skeletally mature.9 Therefore, SARPE procedure is used in these patients for the management of transverse maxillary deficiency. However, this treatment is unfortunately not accepted by patients many times due to its invasiveness, risks and high costs for the patient.¹¹ Miniscrews have been utilized lately for anchorage purposes to apply orthopedic forces.^{12,13} A miniscrew assisted rapid palatal expansion (MARPE) technique has been advocated to distribute the forces optimally to the maxillary basal bone and circummaxillary structures, increase skeletal effects, and reduce dental inclination changes.^{8,13,14} Therefore, because of this technique's high success rates, it could be recommended as an alternative to surgical expansion. It has been shown to preserve periodontal health.^{16,17}

II. METHODS

The miniscrews can be installed manually for MARPE by using a contra-angle driver. For MARPE, the miniscrews are inserted in to the palate usually near the molar region.¹⁸ Two miniscrews are usually used for MARPE with one miniscrew on each side of the palatal suture.¹⁸ The activation protocol is usually 1/4th turn done two times a day which leads to opening of the screws and expansion of maxilla. The expansion is performed until the crossbite is corrected and the



full correction is achieved. The number of miniscrews can be higher upto three, or even four depending on the design of the appliance.¹⁹

For SARPE, the patients have to undergo surgery such as LeFort I osteotomy which includes the lateral-wall of maxilla, pterygomaxillary suture, and palatal suture disruption.^{20,21} This procedure is performed under general anesthesia at a hospital setting. A hyrax expander is used for expansion procedure after the surgery is performed. The expander is anchored to molar and premolars. The activation protocol is usually the same with 1/4th turn twice daily until the crossbite is corrected. RPE procedures use a Hyrax or Hass appliance with a similar expansion protocol but without the surgery.^{22,23}

Cone beam computed Tomography (CBCT) are recorded at different time points to evaluate the effects of appliances on the maxilla and cirummaxillary structures.²⁴ The imaging data is recorded in DICOM (Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine) format.²⁵ CBCT is over other advantageous two dimension radiographs as it has been reported that when CBCT and two dimension radiographs are compared, CBCT is not affected by head rotation while recording but the accuracy of two dimension radiographs is negatively affected with head rotation.26

III. RESULTS

When MARPE expansion is performed, a significant increase is found in the skeletal measurements of maxilla after MARPE expansion. The SARPE expansion shows a significant increase in the skeletal expansion as well but it fails to increase the midfacial width and posterior maxillary base width increase with MARPE.^{4,6,7,8,27} A recent clinical study showed that using only miniscrews anchored expansion and not teeth in MARPE, the amount of skeletal expansion of MARPE was higher than RPE and controls (mean age: 13 years).²⁸ This was attributed to the parallel suture opening with MARPE compared to RPE where the suture opening is triangular.²⁸ MARPE shows a significantly higher expansion of the nasal cavity than SARPE. The expansion of nasal cavity may be responsible for the increase in airway with MARPE. MARPE shows a higher increase in nasopharyngeal airway than RPE and controls by over 44.3%.²⁸ The success rates of SARPE have been found to be lower than MARPE.²⁹ A reason for this is the high success rates of palatal miniscrews which are used for MARPE.³⁰

IV. DISCUSSION

RPE, MARPE, and SARPE approaches

are suggested for the correction of transverse maxillary issues in adult patients.^{4,6,7,8} The objective with MARPE and SARPE is to increase the skeletal effects and decrease the unwanted effects with RPE. Because of the parallel expansion of palatal suture with MARPE, there is a higher chance of disarticulation of sutures with MARPE. The disarticulation of sutures play an important role in gaining more skeletal expansion.³¹ These effects of disarticulation of sutures have an important implication in the treatment of Class III malocclusion in which maxillary protraction therapy is applied following MARPE expansion, decreasing the resistance of the maxillary protraction procedures and therefore better anteroposterior orthopedic outcomes.³²

Cantarella et al. showed that MARPE appliances with miniscrews close to the palatal suture are helpful in achieving higher expansion of midface.³³ MARPE can also lead to a lateral displacement of the zygomatic arch with expansion. If the mid palatal suture is dense and highly ossified, micro-osteoperforations can be done on the palatal suture to allow for more skeletal expansion of MARPE. A recent study showed that micro-osteoperforations can allow for more effective and faster tooth movement due to the increase in inflammatory response.³⁵

SARPE expansion pattern are similar to that of RPE.²³ SARPE usually leads to a triangular separation of maxilla as view from the front, with the apical point of the triangle towards the nasals cavity and the base of the triangle is at the palate. The tooth borne appliances such as hyrax in RPE also product as similar type of suture opening with more opening in the front and absence of opening on the back, specifically with pterygopalatine suture.²⁴

V. CONCLUSION

This review article combined the knowledge from the recent literature on the effects of RPE, MARPE, and SARPE. MARPE shows an increase in the skeletal transverse maxillary expansion at the level of palatal and basal bone. SARPE and RPE show an increase in the expansion at alveolar process but not at the basal bone level. MARPE presents a more parallel expansion of palatal suture in frontal view and axial view. In contrast, SARPE and RPE display a more triangular expansion of the suture.

REFERENCES



- [1]. Revelo B, Fishman LS. Maturational evaluation of ossification of the midpalatal suture. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1994; 105:288-92.
- [2]. Lines PA. Adult rapid maxillary expansion with corticotomy. Am J Orthod1975;67:44-56.
- [3]. Bell WH, Jacobs JD. Surgical-orthodontic correction of horizontal maxillary deficiency. J Oral Surg 1979;37:897-902.
- [4]. Capelozza Filho L, Cardoso Neto J, da Silva Filho OG, Ursi WJ. Non-surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion in adults. Int J Adult OrthodonOrthognath Surg 1996;11:57-66: discussion 67.
- [5]. Alpern MC, Yurosko JJ. Rapid palatal expansion in adults with and without surgery. Angle Orthod1987;57:245-63
- [6]. Odenrick L, Karlander EL, Pierce A, Kretschmar U. Surface resorption following two forms of rapid maxillary expansion. Eur J Orthod1991;13:264-70.
- [7]. Garib DG, Henriques JFC, Janson G, de Freitas MR, Fernandes AY. Periodontal effects of rapid maxillary expansion with tooth-tissueborne and tooth-borne expanders: a computed tomography evaluation. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop2006;129:749-58.
- [8]. Lin L, Ahn HW, Kim SJ, Moon SC, Kim SH, Nelson G. Tooth-borne vs bone-borne rapid maxillary expanders in late adolescence. Angle Orthod2015;85:253-62.
- [9]. Consolaro A, Martins-Ortiz M, Ennes J, Velloso T. O periosteo e aortopedia dos maxilares. Rev Dent Press OrtodOrtop Facial 2001; 6:77-89.
- [10]. Melsen B. Palatal growth studied on human autopsy material. A histologic microradiographic study. Am J Orthod1975;68:42-54
- [11]. Suri L, Taneja P. Surgically assisted rapid palatal expansion: a literature review. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop2008;133:290-302.
- [12]. Turley PK, Kean C, Schur J, Stefanac J, Gray J, Hennes J, et al. Orthodontic force application to titanium endosseous implants. Angle Orthod1988;58:151-62.
- [13]. Smalley WM, Shapiro PA, Hohl TH, Kokich VG,

Br—_____anema rk PI. Osseointegrated titanium implants for maxillofacial protraction in monkeys. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop1988;94:285-95.

- [14]. Garib DG, Navarro RDL, Francischone CE, Oltramari PVP. Rapid maxillary expansion using palatal implants. J Clin Orthod 2008; 42:665-71.
- [15]. Yılmaz A, Arman-€Ozc,ırpıcı A, Erken S, Polat-€Ozsoy €O. Comparison of short-term effects of mini-implant-supported maxillary expansion appliance with two conventional expansion protocols. Eur J Orthod2015;37:556-64.
- [16]. Wilmes B, Nienkemper M, Drescher D. Application and effectiveness of a miniimplant- and tooth-borne rapid palatal expansion device: the hybrid hyrax. World J Orthod2010;11:323-30.
- [17]. Lee KJ, Park YC, Park JY, Hwang WS. Miniscrew-assisted nonsurgical palatal expansion before orthognathic surgery for a patient with severe mandibular prognathism. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop2010;137:830-9.
- [18]. Mehta S, Chen PJ, Vich ML, Upadhyay M, Tadinada A, Yadav S. Bone-anchored versus tooth-anchored expansion appliances: Longterm effects on the condyle-fossa relationship [published online ahead of print, 2021 Jul 28]. J World Fed Orthod. 2021;S2212-4438(21)00031-X. doi:10.1016/j.ejwf.2021.07.001
- [19]. Abu Arqub S, Mehta S, Iverson MG, Yadav S, Upadhyay M, Almuzian M. Does Mini Screw Assisted Rapid Palatal Expansion (MARPE) have an influence on airway and breathing in middle-aged children and adolescents? A systematic review. Int Orthod. 2021;19(1):37-50. doi:10.1016/j.ortho.2021.01.004
- [20]. Parhiz A, Schepers S, Lambrichts I, Vrielinck L, Sun Y, Politis C. Lateral cephalometry changes after SARPE. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2011;40(7):662-671. doi:10.1016/j.ijom.2011.03.005
- [21]. Smeets M, Da Costa Senior O, Eman S, Politis C. A retrospective analysis of the complication rate after SARPE in 111 cases, and its relationship to patient age at surgery. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2020;48(5):467-471. doi:10.1016/j.jcms.2019.12.015
- [22]. Façanha AJ, Lara TS, Garib DG, da Silva Filho OG. Transverse effect of Haas and Hyrax appliances on the upper dental arch in patients with unilateral complete cleft lip and palate: a comparative study. Dental Press J Orthod. 2014;19(2):39-45. doi:10.1590/2176-9451.19.2.039-045.oar



- [23]. Hino CT, Pereira MD, Sobral CS, Kreniski TM, Ferreira LM. Transverse effects of surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion: a comparative study using Haas and Hyrax. J Craniofac Surg. 2008;19(3):718-725. doi:10.1097/SCS.0b013e31816aaa91
- [24]. Matzen LH, Schropp L, Spin-Neto R, Wenzel A. Radiographic signs of pathology determining removal of an impacted mandibular third molar assessed in a panoramic image or CBCT. DentomaxillofacRadiol. 2017;46(1):20160330. doi:10.1259/dmfr.20160330
- [25]. Mantri M, Taran S, Sunder G. DICOM Integration Libraries for Medical Image Interoperability: A Technical Review [published online ahead of print, 2020 Dec 4]. IEEE Rev Biomed Eng. 2020;PP:10.1109/RBME.2020.3042642. doi:10.1109/RBME.2020.3042642
- [26]. Mehta S, Dresner R, Gandhi V, Chen PJ, Allareddy V, Kuo CL, Mu J, Yadav S. Effect of positional errors on the accuracy of cervical vertebrae maturation assessment using CBCT and lateral cephalograms. J World Fed Orthod. 2020;9(4):146-154. doi:10.1016/j.ejwf.2020.09.006
- [27]. Goddard R, Witherow H. Surgically assisted rapid palatal expansion (SARPE). Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2011;49(1):65-66. doi:10.1016/j.bjoms.2009.11.013
- [28]. Mehta S, Wang D, Kuo CL, Mu J, Vich ML, Allareddy V, Tadinada A, Yadav S. Longterm effects of mini-screw-assisted rapid palatal expansion on airway. Angle Orthod. 2021;91(2):195-205. doi:10.2319/062520-586.1
- [29]. Oliveira CB, Ayub P, Angelieri F, et al. Evaluation of factors related to the success of miniscrew-assisted rapid palatal expansion. Angle Orthod. 2021;91(2):187-194. doi:10.2319/051420-436.1
- [30]. Arqub SA, Gandhi V, Mehta S, Palo L, Upadhyay M, Yadav S. Survival estimates and risk factors for failure of palatal and buccal mini-implants. Angle Orthod. 2021;91(6):756-763. doi:10.2319/090720-777.1
- [31]. Moon W. Class III treatment by combining facemask (FM) and maxillary skeletal expander (MSE). Semin Orthod 2018;24: 95-107.
- [32]. Mehta S, Chen PJ, Upadhyay M, Yadav S. Intermaxillary elastics on skeletal anchorage

and MARPE to treat a class III maxillary retrognathic open bite adolescent: A case report [published online ahead of print, 2021 Aug 24]. Int Orthod. 2021;S1761-7227(21)00107-8.

doi:10.1016/j.ortho.2021.08.001

- [33]. Cantarella D, Dominguez-mompell R, Mallya SM, Moschik C, Pan HC, Miller J, et al. Changes in the midpalatal and pterygopalatine sutures induced by microimplant-supported skeletal expander, analyzed with a novel 3D method based on CBCT imaging. Prog Orthod2017;18:34.
- [34]. Mehta S, Chen PJ, Kalajzic Z, Ahmida A, Yadav S. Acceleration of orthodontic tooth movement and root resorption with near and distant surgical insults: An in-vivo study on a rat model [published online ahead of print, 2021 Oct 26]. Int Orthod. 2021;S1761-7227(21)00125-X.

doi:10.1016/j.ortho.2021.10.002
[35]. Costa JG, Galindo TM, Mattos CT, Cury-Saramago AA. Retention period after treatment of posterior crossbite with

- treatment of posterior crossbite with maxillary expansion: a systematic review. Dental Press J Orthod. 2017;22(2):35-44. doi:10.1590/2177-6709.22.2.035-044.oar
- [36]. Mehta S, Patel D, Yadav S. Staging orthodontic aligners for complex orthodontic tooth movement. Turk J Orthod. 2021; 34(3): 202-206. DOI: 10.5152/TurkJOrthod.2021.20116
- [37]. Kuitert RB. Orthodontischebehandeling van volwassenen [Orthodontic treatment for adults]. Ned TijdschrTandheelkd. 2000;107(4):160-168.
- [38]. Johnston CD, Littlewood SJ. Retention in orthodontics. Br Dent J. 2015;218(3):119-122. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2015.47