

The Results of Elective Diagnostic Laparoscopy in Diagnosing Chronic Abdominal Pain-In a Tertiary Health Care Centre.

Dr.Sourav Shubham Dr.Biswa Ranjan Mohapatra,

Junior Resident Assistant Professor Department of General Surgery Hi-tech medical College and hospital, Bhubaneswar,Odisha

Date of Submission: 05-11-2020	Date of Acceptance: 17-11-2020

ABSTRACT:Background: Chronic idiopathic pain syndromes are amongst the most challenging and demanding conditions to treat across the whole age spectrum. Despite these patients having undergone numerous diagnostic work ups, their pain remains a challenge to all known diagnostic and treatment methods.

Aims and Objectives: We aim to evaluate the diagnostic and therapeutic efficacy of laparoscopy in the management of such patients in this prospective study.

Materials and methods: Thirty five patients with chronic pain abdomen were included in this study. The pain in all these patients was either of unclear etiology or not responding to the treatment given after clinical assessment and lasting for more than 3 months duration. Pain of shorter duration and patients less than 14 years of age were excluded from the study. All patients were subjected to diagnostic laparoscopy and procedure. The results were tabulated and analyzed.

Results: Females were more affected by this condition and the most common site of pain being the peri -umbilical region. A definitive diagnosis was made per operatively in 29 patients while in the remaining 6, no obvious pathology was detected. The most common findings in our study was post-operative adhesions , followed by recurrent appendicitis , Carcinoma , Mesenteric lymphadenopathy and Tuberculosis . Pain assessment done at 1 month follow up showed pain relief in 85.7% and 3 month follow up showed pain relief in 70% of patients.

Conclusion: Post operative adhesions form a majority of cause for causing chronic pain abdomen. Diagnostic laparoscopy is a safe and effective modality for the diagnostic and therapeutic management of such patients.

Keywords: Diagnostic laparoscopy, chronic pain abdomen, post operative adhesions, diagnostic efficacy.

I. INTRODUCTION

Patients with chronic abdominal pain are the amongst most difficult manage. to Potentially it can be unrewarding for both the patient and the treating physician. Chronic abdominal pain is a difficult complaint.¹ It leads disability, evident suffering and hoth to physicallv and psychologically. Chronic abdominal pain is associated with poor quality of life.² Studies conducted with large community samples or hospital populations imply chronic abdominal pain is a pervasive problem.

Most patients in this group would have already undergone many diagnostic procedures. More than 40% of the patients presenting with pain have no specific chronic abdominal etiological diagnosis at the diagnostic workup^{3,4,5,6}. end of their These searches for pathology often include such procedures as upper and lower gastrointestinal endoscopies, screening for computerized tomography and undetected carcinoma.

In many cases it prevents unnecessary/negative laparotomy. The rapid recovery and return to normal activity that follow diagnostic laparoscopic surgery provide an extra incentive for the surgeon to adopt more laparoscopic techniques.

II. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

To study the efficacy of diagnostic laparoscopy in identifying the etiology of undiagnosed chronic abdominal pain

III. METHODOLOGY

Materials And Methods

This study was conducted in the surgical wards of Hi-tech medical College and Hospital. The study group consisted of 35 patients admitted to the surgical wards of

Hitech medical college and hospital, Bhubaneswar with pain abdomen of 3 months duration or more



between December 2018 to June 2020. A detailed history was taken from each of the patient as per the proforma designed before the commencement of the study. The clinical examination findings were also recorded in the proforma. The results were then tabulated.

The recorded data included particulars of the patient, duration of illness, site of abdominal pain, other associated symptoms such 28 vomiting or fever or white discharge per vagina, past history of surgical explorations, co morbid conditions, investigations. Subsequently the intra findings, therapeutic/ operative diagnostic intervention done, correlation of the intra operative findings with the histopathology report, complications during the intra and post operative period and the relief from the pain were recorded and analysed. Written informed consent was taken prior to all the procedures.

Inclusion Criteria

Age Distribution:

- All cases of undiagnosed (by conventional methods and investigations such as detailed history, clinical examination, blood counts, urine examination, USG abdomen, Plain x ray abdomen) chronic abdominal pain >3months duration of both sex.
- All cases of undiagnosed chronic abdominal pain in patients >14 years of age.
- Cases of clinically diagnosed chronic abdominal pain of >3 months duration not responding to the treatment given.

Exclusion Criteria

- All cases of undiagnosed chronic abdominal pain <3 months duration of both sex.
- All cases of undiagnosed chronic abdominal pain in patients <14 years of age.

All surgeries were carried out under general anaesthesia. All patients had a Ryle's tube inserted and bladder catheterized prior to anaesthesia. Pneumoperitoneum was created using Hasson's technique. A 10mm umbilical camera port was inserted and two lateral 5mm ports depending on the organ of interest and the suspected pathology.

The sites of port insertion varied depending on the presence or absence of previous abdominal surgery scars. Diagnostic laparoscopy of the abdomen was carried out carefully inspecting the entire visceral contents of the abdomen for any pathology. Starting from the liver, the gall bladder, anterior surface of the stomach, large intestine, entire length of small intestine with particular emphasis on appendix and terminal ileum, anterior surfaces of the retroperitoneal organs, uterus, fallopian tubes and ovaries and peritoneal surface. Adhesions between the bowel loops or to the anterior abdominal wall was also looked for.

The surgical procedure carried out were depending on the intra operative findings and as per indications which ranged from biopsy from suspicious lesions to adhesiolysis to appendectomy.

All the ports were closed using absorbable suture materials at the end of the procedure.

IV. RESULTS

Table 1: Age distribution of patients presenting with chronic pain abdomen

Age(in Years)	No. of Patients	Percentage(%)
15-30	16	45.71
31-40	7	20
41-50	9	25.71
51-60	2	5.71
61-70	1	2.85
Total	35	100





Graph 1:Showing age distribution

Our study of 35 patients with chronic pain abdomen showed a peak incidence of chronic pain abdomen in the third decade. The youngest patient in our study was 15 years and the oldest patient being 69years. The mean age of presentation was 35 years.Sex Distribution:

Table 2: Sex Distribution	Of Patients	Presenting	With	Chronic	Pain	Abdomen
Table 2. Sex Distribution	Of I attents	1 resenting	** 1011	Chiome	I am	nouomen

Sex	No. of cases	Percentage (%)
Male	12	34.28
Female	23	65.71

Graph 2:Showing Sex Distribution



Our study of 35 patients showed a female preponderance to chronic pain abdomen (66%).



Table no 3: Duration of pain before laparoscopy:

Duration of pain	No. of patients	Percentage (%)	
(months)			
3-12	12	34.28	
12-18	3	8.57	
18-36	18	51.42	
>36	2	5.71	

Graph 3: Duration Of Pain Before Diagnostic Laparoscopy



51% of the patients in our study gave a history of pain abdomen of duration between 18 to 36 months.

Table no 4: Location of Pain:			
Region of pain	No. of Patients	Percentage (%)	
Upper abdomen	6	17.14	
Peri umbilical	13	37.14	
Lower abdomen	4	11.42	
Diffuse abdomen	12	34.28	





Graph 4:Showing location of pain

The majority of the patients in our study of 35 patients presented with peri-umbilical region pain. It was followed closely by diffuse pain abdomen.

No. of cases	Percentage (%)	
22	62.85	
13	37.14	
-	No. of cases	No. of cases Percentage (%) 22 62.85 13 37.14

Table No 5: History Of Previous Abdominal Surgeries



Graph 5:showing number of patients with history of previous abdominal surgeries.



Around 22(63%) of patients in our study had undergone a previous surgery compared to 13 (37%) of them without any history of abdominal surgeries. Most of the patients had a previous history of tubectomy and subsequent adhesions.

Diagnosis	Procedure	No. of Patients	Percentage (%)
Post operative adhesions	Adhesiolysis	18	51.42
Normal Study	No intervention	6	17.14
Recurrent Appendicitis	Appendectomy	5	14.28
Chronic Cholecystitis	Cholecystectomy	2	5.71
Carcinoma	Biopsy	2	5.71
Mesenteric Lymphadenopathy	Biopsy	1	2.85
Tuberculosis (Strictures)	Resection Anastomosis with Cat 1 ATT	1	2.85







In our study of 35 patients, the most common finding was post operative adhesions, in 51.42% of patients. Most of the patients in this group were females and had a past history of abdominal surgery, tubectomy in most cases. Adhesiolysis was done in all these patients.

The next most common finding at laparoscopy in our study was a normal study (17.14%). These patients were just observed and followed up.

Recurrent appendicitis was our per operative diagnosis in 14.28% of our patients. The appendices felt firm to palpate per operatively. Appendectomy was done in such patients. Subsequent histopathological examination confirmed our diagnosis in most of these cases. One of the patient in this group had adhesions between the appendix and the lateral abdominal wall. Adhesiolysis and appendectomy was done. HPE turned out to be chronic imflammation in the appendix and hence included in this group for statistical analysis.

We did laparoscopic cholecystectomy for 2 of our patients. HPE confirmed our findings in this group of patients.

2 patients were diagnosed with carcinoma per operatively. One of them being Carcinoma pancreas and the other had peritoneal deposits whose biopsy turned out to be Adeno Carcinoma.Mesenteric lymph node biopsy was done in 1 patient.

Diagnosis of tubercular strictures was made in 1 patient. This patient underwent resection and anastomosis of the long segment stricture and stricturoplasty for another short segment stricture by open method. Post operatively, he was started on anti tubercular drugs and the patient followed up. Histopathological examination confirmed tuberculosis.



Fig 22 : Per operative Finding : Adhesions



Fig 23 : Per operative Finding : Strictures





Fig 24 : Recurrent Appendicitis Fig 25: Rec. Appendicitis With Adhesions

Morbidity: In most of our cases there was no post operative complications except in three patients who developed surgical site infection which was managed conservatively by appropriate antibiotic cover and alternate day wound dressing. No mortality was encountered in our study group.

Duration of hospital stay: Post operative hospital stay ranged from 4 to 11 days with a mean duration of stay of 5.5 days.

Duration of procedure: The average length of the operative time was 67.14 minutes and two patients required conversion to an open procedure.

Both the cases were converted due to technical difficulties.

Follow up:

During the follow up period, all patients were re-evaluated for pain. The patients were reviewed at one month and three months post operatively. Subjective assessment of pain was done during the follow up and positive outcome (less pain or disappearance of pain) was noted and negative outcome (persistence of pain or worsening pain) was also noted. 5 patients were lost to follow up at the three month time frame.

	···g···········(//)
85.71	14.29
70	30
-	85.71 70

 Table No 7: Post Operative Pain Relief





Graph 7: Post operative pain relief



V. DISCUSSION

Chronic abdominal pain is a common problem dealt not only by the general surgeon but by all practicing physicians. Even after extensive non-invasive work up of such patients, the exact cause of pain abdomen is seldom known.

The aim of our study is to study the efficacy of diagnostic laparoscopy as an investigative and therapeutic modality in the diagnosis and management of patients with chronic pain abdomen.

Diagnostic laparoscopy makes it possible for the surgeon to directly visualize the contents of the abdominal cavity better than any other investigative modality. The study confirmed that in this difficult patient group, laparoscopy could safely identify abnormal findings and can improve the outcome in a majority of the cases. In this prospective study 35 patients were considered who were admitted in the surgical wards of HMCH BBSR FROM DEC 2018 TO JUNE 2020.

Age and Sex Incidence

There were 12 males and 23 female patients in the study. The age group of patients in this study ranged from 15to 69 years with the average age being 35 years.

Male : Female ratio was 1 :1.9



. .

Table 10 6. Comparison of average age incluence			
Average age in years			
39			
27.5			
42			
36			
35			

In a study involving 34 patients by Klingensmith et al,¹⁵the majority were women (85%). The average age in their study was 39years

(Range 21-75years). In a study by Thanaponsathron et al,³⁹ of 30 patients with chronic right lower quadrant pain, the average age was 27.5 years.

In a study by Raymond et al¹⁸ for utility of laparoscopy in chronic abdominal pain involving 70 patients, the average age was 42 years.

In a study by Gouda M El- Labban and Emad N Hokkam⁴⁰ involving 30 patients, the average age of presentation was 36 years.

All the above studies show that the female sex was more commonly afflicted by chronic pain abdomen and the average age at presentation in our study is comparable with the aforementioned studies.

Pain Duration:

In our study, the duration of pain ranged between 3 months to 3 years. In a study by Raymond et al^{18} of 70 patients, the duration of pain ranged from 3 months to 5 years.

In a study by Gouda M El- Labban and Emad N Hokkam⁴⁰ involving 30 patients, the duration of pain ranged from 3 to 15 months.

Prior Surgery:

able No 9: Comparison Of Past History	y Of	Abd	ominal Su	rgeries
Study	No.	of	patients	with

Study	No. of patients with Prior
	surgery (%)
Gouda M El- Labban and Emad N Hokkam ⁴⁰	56.6
Kinnaresh Ashwin Kumar Baria ⁴¹	22
Present study	62.8

In our study of 35 patients, 22 patients had previous history of abdominal surgery. In a study by Klingensmith et al^{15} involving 34 patients, most of the patients had previous history of abdominal surgery.

In a study by Gouda M El-Labban and Emad N Hokkam⁴⁰ involving 30 patients, 17 had a previous history of abdominal surgery. In a study by Kinnaresh Ashwin Kumar Baria⁴¹ involving

50 patients, 11 of them had a past history of abdominal surgery.

Laparoscopic Diagnosis:

In our study comprising 35 patients, laparoscopy identified pathology in 29 patients (82.85%). No abnormality was found in the remaining 6 patients (17.14%) who were just observed without any intervention.



Post operative adhesions:

Table No 10: Comparison Of Patients With Adhesions			
Study	No. of patients with adhesions (%)		
Lavonius M et al ¹⁷	63		
Klingensmith et al ¹⁵	56		
Present study	51.42		

51.42% of the patients in our series were found to have intestinal adhesions secondary to a prior abdominal surgery, mostly tubectomy (in 8 patients). Some patients had a past history of appendectomy (in 7), cholecystectomy (in 2), hysterectomy (in 4) and one patient had a prior history of laparotomy for hollow viscous perforation. Adhesiolysis was done as a therapeutic procedure.

Lavonius M et al¹⁷ in their study of laparoscopy for chronic abdominal pain in 46

patients reported post operative adhesions in 63% of cases.

In a study by Klingensmith et al¹⁵ involving 34 patients, 56% of them underwent adhesiolysis.

In a study by Vafa Shayani et al ⁴² involving 18 cases, laparoscopic adhesiolysis resulted in a 77.8% cure rate from chronic abdominal pain.

In a study by Dunker S et al ⁴³ laparoscopic adhesiolysis resulted in a positive outcome in more than 50% of patients. Normal Study

Study	Normal study (%)
Salky B A et al ¹⁶	24
Kinnaresh Ashwin Kumar Baria ⁴¹	10
Vander Velpen et al ³²	23
Klingensmith et al ¹⁵	26
Onders RP and Mittendorf EA ¹⁸	14.2
Present study	17.14

Table No 11: Comparison Of Patients With Normal Study At Laparoscopy



17.14% of patients in our study did not have any pathology detected per operatively.

In a study by Salky B A et al^{16} involving 265 patients, normal laparoscopic findings were recorded in 24%.

In a study by Kinnaresh Ashwin Kumar Baria⁴¹ involving 50 patients, 10% of them had no identifiable cause detected after laparoscopic examination.

In a study by Vander Velpen et al^{32} a 23% of patients with uncertain diagnosis at the end of the procedure was reported.

In a study by Klingensmith et al¹⁵ involving 34 patients, 26% of patients needed no operative intervention other than laparoscopic exploration. In a study by Onders RP and Mittend or fEA^{18}

involving 70 patients, no abnormality was detected in 14.2 % of cases.

Recurrent Appendicitis: 5 (14.28%) of patients in our study were diagnosed to have recurrent appendicitis.

Histopathological examination confirmed the diagnosis in 4 of them. One of the specimens was reported normal. This is still justifiable because it makes the diagnosis of appendicitis less likely if the patient complains of similar pain in the future.

Laparoscopy is a useful technique for the diagnosis and treatment of abdominal pain even if the appendix is normal on inspection.⁴⁴

In a study by Onders RP and Mittendorf EA^{18} involving 70 patients, appendiceal pathology was detected in 7.14% of cases.

Diagnostic Efficacy of Laparoscopy:

Table No 12: Diagnostic Efficacy of Diagnostic Laparoscopy			
Study	No. of patients	Diagnosis achieved (%)	
Raymond P et al ¹⁸	70	85.7	
Karl Miller et al ¹⁴	59	89.8	
Klingensmith et al ¹⁵	34	65	
Schrenk P et al ⁴⁵	92	87	
Kinnaresh Ashwin Kumar Baria ⁴¹	50	90	
Andreollo et al ⁴⁶	168	86.3	
Salky BA et al ¹⁶	265	76	
Gouda M El- Labban and Emad N ⁴⁰	30	83.3	
Present study	35	82.85	

The present study findings correlate well with other published studies.

The efficacy of diagnostic laparoscopy achieved in the present study compares well with other previous studies.

VI. CONCLUSION

Laparoscopy has an effective diagnostic accuracy and therapeutic efficacy in the management of patients who present to us with chronic abdominal pain, especially in whom conventional methods of investigations have failed to elicit a cause for the pain.

Laparoscopy is safe, quick and effective modality of investigation for chronic abdominal pain.

Diagnostic laparoscopy has a high diagnostic and therapeutic efficacy.

Ability to pin point a cause for the abdominal pain or exclude a more major cause for pain not only avoids further investigations but also plays a significant role in alleviating the fears in the minds of the patients.

Not only does laparoscopy point to a diagnosis, it has the added advantage that therapeutic intervention can be done at the same sitting in most cases thus avoiding another hospitalization or another exploration of the abdomen.

Laparoscopy prevents unnecessary laparotomy in a significant number of cases.

Diagnostic laparoscopy has a definitive role in the management of patients with chronic pain



abdomen and should be an important investigative tool in the armamentarium of all practicing surgeons.

BIBILOGRAPHY

- [1]. American Acaedemy of Paediatrics Subcommittee on Chronic Abdominal Pain. Chronic Abdominal Pain in children, Pediatrics 2005: 115:812-5.
- [2]. Ferrell BR. The impact of pain on quality of life: A decade of research. Nurs Clin North Am.1995;30:609–24.
- [3]. Camilleri M. Management of patients with chronic abdominal pain in clinical practice.Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2006;18:499–506.
- [4]. Paajanen, Hannu, Julkunen, Kristiina, Waris, Heidi, Laparoscopy in Chronic Abdominal Pain: A Prospective Nonrandomized Longterm Follow-up Study, Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology, Feb 2005, 39(2), p. 110-114.
- [5]. Townsend CO, Sletten CD, Bruce BK, Rome JD, Luedtke CA, Hodgson JE. Physical and emotional functioning of adult patients with chronic abdominal pain: Comparison with patients with chronic back pain. J Pain. 2005;6:5–83.
- [6]. McGarrity TJ, Peters DJ, Thompson C, McGarrity SJ. Outcome of patients with chronic abdominal pain referred to chronic pain clinic. Am J Gastroenterol. 2000;95:1812–6.
- [7]. C. Palanivelu, Art of laparoscopic surgery, Textbook and atlas, Chapter 12- Diagnostic laparoscopy- Indication, tuberculosis and adhesiolysis, Jaypee publishers,2005, 1st edition, vol 1,p.152-177,
- [8]. Tehemton E Udwadia, Laparoscopic surgeries in developing countries, Jaypee brothers, 1997.
- [9]. Gallegos NC, Hobsley M. Abdominal wall pain: an alternative diagnosis. Br J Surg 1990;77:1167.
- [10]. Ajay Kriplani, Parveen Vhatia, Arun Prasad, Deepak Govil, H P Garg, Editors, Comprehensive laparoscopic surgery, New Delhi, 2007
- [11]. T H Brown , M H Irving, editors, Introduction to minimal access surgery, BMJ publishing group,1999
- [12]. Daniel B Jones, Justin S Wu, Nathaniel J Soper, Editors, Laparoscopic Surgeries principles and procedures, quality medical publishing, USA, 1997
- [13]. Conlon K C and Toomey D, Chapter 19-

Diagnostic laproscopy in Fischer J E, Bland K I et al, editors Mastery of surgery, publishers-Lipincott Williams and Wilkins, 2007, 5th edition, Vol 1,p. 251-258

- [14]. Karl Miller MD, Edith Mayer MD, Erich Moritz HD: The role of laparoscopy in chronic& recurrent abdominal Pain. Am J. Surg: 1996:172; 353-7.
- [15]. Klingensmith ME, Soybel DI, Brooks DC: Laparoscopy for chronic abdominal Pain .Surg Endosc: 1996; 10(11): 1085-7.
- [16]. Salky BA, Edye MB: The role of laparoscopy in the diagnosis and treatment of abdominal Pain syndromes; Surg Endosc: 1998; 12(7):911-4.
- [17]. Lavonius M, et al: Laparoscopy for chronic abdominal Pain. Surg Laparosc & endosc: 1999; 9: 42-4.
- [18]. Raymond P, Onders MD, Elizabeth A, Mittendorf MD: Utility of laparoscopy in chronic abdominal Pain. Surg : 2003; 134(4): 549-54.
- [19]. Swank DJ, Swank-Bordewijk SCG, Hop WCJ, et al: Laparoscopic adhesiolysis in patients with chronic abdominal pain: A blinded randomized controlled multi- centre trial. Lancet 2003; 361:1247-51.
- [20]. Arya PK and Gaur KJBS: Laparoscopy: A tool in diagnosis of lower abdominal pain. Indian J Surg: 2004; 66:216-20.
- [21]. Krishnan P et al: Laparoscopy in suspected abdominal tuberculosis is useful as an early diagnostic method. ANZ Journal of Surgery: 2008; 78: 987-9.
- [22]. Nafeh MA, Medhat A, Abdul-Hameed AG, Ahmad YA, Rashwan NM, Strickland GT, Tuberculous peritonitis in Egypt: the value of laparoscopy in diagnosis, Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1992 Oct;47(4):470-7.
- [23] S Rai and W M Thomas, Diagnosis of abdominal tuberculosis: the importance of laparoscopy; J R Soc Med. 2003 December; 96 (12) :586-588.
- [24]. Gary C Schoenwolf, Steven B Bleyl, Philip R Brauer, Philippa H Francis West, Larsen's Human Embryology, 4th edition, Elsevier Publications, 2009
- [25]. Susan Standring, Editor, Grays anatomy, 40th edition, Churchill Livingston Elsevier, 2008, Chapter 60.