International Journal Dental and Medical Sciences Research
Volume 8, Issue 4, Jul-Aug 2026 pp: 51-55 www.ijdmsrjournal.com ISSN: 2582-6018

Torque Control in Orthodontics: A Comprehensive Review

Priyank Rai[1], Neha Bansal[2]
Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics, Maulana Azad Institute of Dental Sciences, New Delhi

---

Date of Submission: 02-07-2026 Date of Acceptance: 13-07-2026

Abstract
Torque is referred to as third-order, facio-lingual root-controlling force delivered through orthodontic appliances is among the most consequential yet least intuitively understood biomechanical variables in clinical orthodontics. Precise torque control determines axial inclination, apical base relationship, smile esthetics, and long-term occlusal stability, while its mismanagement predisposes to root resorption and relapse. This review synthesizes the biomechanical foundations of torque, traces the evolution of torque prescriptions from Andrews through Roth, the Bio-progressive, MBT and variable-prescription philosophies, and examines torque delivery across fixed, lingual, removable, and clear-aligner systems. Factors that modulate torque expression and the clinical significance of torque control are discussed, concluding with a synthesis and directions for future innovation.

I. Introduction

"Torque," is derived from the Latin word "torquere" ("to twist"), denotes the rotational force applied to a tooth to achieve controlled facio-lingual movement of its root relative to its crown.[1] It is generated when a twisted rectangular archwire engages a rectangular bracket slot and attempts to untwist itself, transmitting a couple to the tooth about its centre of resistance.[2,3] Andrews identified crown inclination — the "third key" — as one of six keys to normal occlusion, defining torque clinically as the angle between a line perpendicular to the occlusal plane and one tangent to the facial axis of the clinical crown; torque is positive when the root lies lingual to the crown and negative when it lies facial.[4]

Torque governs incisor display, lip support, overjet, and curve of Spee anteriorly, and buccolingual root position, arch width, and transverse stability posteriorly. Rauch observed that mastery of torque distinguishes an artistically finished case from an ordinary tooth-straightening result.[2] Despite a century of refinement, Isaacson and colleagues describe torque control as one of the least effective components of contemporary edgewise mechanics, owing to poorly defined reciprocal forces.[3] Table 1 summarizes key historical milestones.

Table 1. Milestones in the historical evolution of torque management

Period	Key Development	Contributors
Early 1900s	Foundational mechanical principles	Angle
1950s	Biomechanics of tooth movement explored	Holdaway, Roth
1960s	Bracket design advances	Begg, Kloehn
1980s	Self-ligating brackets introduced	Burstone, Kuhlberg
1990s	Wire-material refinements	Nanda, Isaacson
2000s	Digital technology integration	Various
2010s–present	Variable/individualized prescription	McLaughlin, Sondhi, Damon

Biomechanical Principles of Torque

All torque-control systems act through the moment of a couple or the moment of a force.[3] A couple — a pair of equal, opposite parallel forces — rotates a tooth about a centre of rotation coincident with its centre of resistance without displacing it; a force applied elsewhere generates a moment of a force, which displaces the centre of resistance in the direction of the applied force.[3] This interaction is captured by the moment-to-force (M/F) ratio: per Nanda, an M/F ratio of approximately 5:1 produces uncontrolled tipping, 7:1 controlled tipping, 10:1 translation, and 12:1 or greater true root movement.[5] Proffit similarly notes that as the ratio of the counterbalancing bracket moment (Mc) to the crown force moment (Mf) rises toward unity, tipping becomes progressively controlled, reaching translation at $Mc/Mf = 1$ and true root torque above 1.[6]

Isaacson, Lindauer and Rubenstein showed that a rectangular wire engaging a rectangular slot generates a couple at each bracket while immediately adjacent teeth absorb an equal and opposite reciprocal moment that is routinely disregarded clinically.[3] When identical torque is placed progressively along a linear segment, the net moment sums to zero at intermediate teeth and concentrates, in opposite directions, only at the terminal teeth. This underlies two auxiliary force systems: the torquing arch, placing the greater couple at the incisor brackets and producing incisor extrusion with molar intrusion, and the base arch, placing the greater couple at the molar tubes, producing incisor intrusion with molar extrusion; cinching either arch adds a "rowboat" effect without altering the underlying vertical equilibrium.[3]

Torque Prescriptions and Historical Evolution

Andrews' pre-adjusted appliance incorporated tip, torque, and in-out values specific to each tooth so a single archwire could reproduce the six keys of occlusion.[4] Roth simplified Andrews' extensive bracket inventory into a single "Straight-Wire" prescription with increased maxillary incisor torque (12° at the central incisor) intended to improve anterior guidance.[7,8] Alexander's Vari-Simplex discipline and Ricketts and Bench's Bio-progressive system subsequently introduced higher incisor torque values (14° and 22° respectively), reflecting differing philosophies of anterior root positioning within the cortical plate.[9] McLaughlin, Bennett and Trevisi's MBT appliance further increased maxillary incisor torque (17°) to compensate for the limited engagement achieved by a 0.019 × 0.025-inch wire within a 0.022 × 0.028-inch slot.[10]

Table 2. Maxillary central-incisor torque by prescription

Prescription	Maxillary Central Incisor Torque
Roth	12°
Vari-Simplex	14°
MBT	17°
Bio-progressive	22°

The near-100% variation between the Roth and Bio-progressive maxillary central-incisor values confirms that no single universal torque prescription exists; the appropriate value depends on pre-treatment inclination, extraction versus non-extraction planning, facial pattern, and desired incisor display. This variability has given rise to Variable Prescription Orthodontics (VPO), in which high- (Bio-progressive/Hilgers), standard- (MBT), and low- (Roth) torque values are selected individually for each patient's malocclusion and periodontal status rather than applied uniformly, particularly when combined with self-ligating bracket systems.[11,12,13]

Factors Influencing Torque Expression

Torque expression at the clinical crown is governed by archwire dimension and alloy, bracket slot dimension and material, bracket height, and mode of ligation, rather than by the nominal prescription alone. Because a rectangular wire never fully fills a rectangular slot, some angular "play" is always present; stiffer stainless-steel wires and smaller slot-wire mismatches transmit torque more completely than nickel-titanium or beta-titanium wires, and active self-ligating brackets, which mechanically clip the wire into the base of the slot, retain torque better than passive designs.[14,15,16] Vertical bracket malpositioning of only 1 mm can alter the effective torsion angle by 10–15°, and deliberate 180° bracket inversion is used clinically to reverse a torque value — for example, converting +10° to −10° — when a palatally displaced lateral incisor requires labial rather than lingual root movement.[17,18] Because these bracket-and-wire variables deliver torque only indirectly and are frequently insufficient in isolated or reciprocal-torque situations, dedicated torquing auxiliaries remain important wherever bracket-and-wire mechanics alone cannot deliver the required movement.

Torquing Auxiliaries

Torquing auxiliaries are dedicated wire attachments, distinct from the base archwire, that deliver an isolated root-moving couple to one tooth or a small group of teeth; they are central to Begg and refined-Begg mechanotherapy, where root movement is deliberately deferred to a discrete third treatment stage.[19] An auxiliary is active when it produces a torquing movement on engagement and passive when it merely maintains an already-achieved root position; in the buccal segment, torque delivered may be continuous or, as Brodie described, progressive from the canine toward the second molar.[19]

Commonly described designs include the spur-type auxiliary, which delivers palatal root torque to the maxillary incisors as it is spread and tied over the base archwire, with longer spurs producing gentler, more sustained force; the pre-wound "rat-trap" auxiliary, wound about a stabilised base archwire to achieve reciprocal palatal torquing of the anterior roots and adapted for lingual appliances as the "rat-trap" lingual torquing auxiliary;[20] the TAN reverse torquing auxiliary, providing labial or lingual root torque to a palatally displaced canine or, in its mandibular form, correcting proclined lower incisor roots.[21] The Kitchton auxiliary, delivering gentle, continuous lingual root torque to the central and, when enlarged, lateral incisors, adaptable to Begg, edgewise, and removable systems.[22] Reciprocal "SPEC" designs address two adjacent teeth requiring opposite-direction root torque simultaneously, and dedicated designs exist for isolated molar or lateral-incisor root correction. Mollenhauer's aligning auxiliary pairs an ultralight 0.009-inch auxiliary with

International Journal Dental and Medical Sciences Research
Volume 8, Issue 4, Jul-Aug 2026 pp: 51-55 www.ijdmsrjournal.com ISSN: 2582-6018

a stiff 0.018-inch base wire to achieve early, low-force root control, rapid alignment of crowded incisors, and reduced periodontal risk relative to prolonged labial root torquing.[23]

Collectively, these devices — first developed by Begg and subsequently refined by Kesling, Bernstein, and O'Meara — allow precise, isolated root movement that bracket-and-wire mechanics alone cannot deliver.[24,25,26]

Torque Delivery in Fixed and Lingual Appliance Systems

In edgewise mechanics, an activated rectangular archwire produces a couple at each bracket, with immediately adjacent teeth absorbing an equal and opposite reciprocal moment; clinicians historically countered this with progressive interproximal twists, undersized wires, additional molar brackets, and torquing spurs, until torqued-slot brackets in the late 1950s–1960s built the required torque directly into the bracket base — the conceptual origin of the modern pre-adjusted appliance. During finishing, roots are typically over-torqued and crowns over-tipped by 10–15% to allow settling into final position.

Lingual appliances face a reduced arch radius, shorter interbracket distances, and variable lingual morphology; the Torque and Angulation Reference Guide (TARG) transfers a validated labial prescription to the lingual surface at the correct bracket height without model sectioning.[27] Ricketts' Bio-progressive philosophy frames torque around maintaining roots in vascular trabecular bone, anchoring roots against dense cortical bone, deliberately remodelling cortical bone for incisor retraction or impacted-canine correction, and fine finishing adjustments.[9] The Tip-Edge appliance defers torque to a final treatment stage, applying it via nickel-titanium torque bars ligated to the lingual aspect of the round base archwire through specialised deep-groove brackets on the maxillary centrals.[28]

Removable Appliances

Removable appliances predominantly tip rather than bulk-move roots and, in cases with anterior spacing, frequently leave residual labial root prominence by the end of treatment. Genuine root movement is nonetheless achievable with flexible torquing springs incorporated into an acrylic base plate. Bass's double cantilever spring exerts lingual pressure at the gingival margin of the incisor crown while a bite-plane blocks compensatory movement at the incisal edge, and Watkin's box-type attachment, later refined as the "McKeag box," similarly induces torque within a removable framework.[25] A contemporary variant combines molar Adams clasps and premolar triangular clasps for anchorage with a 0.4 mm torquing spring acting on the cervical third of the crown, while a labial bow restrains crown tipping and directs the force preferentially toward the root.[29]

Clear Aligners

Clear thermoplastic aligners generate torque through an elastic, force-inducing projection whose moment arm is set by clinical crown length rather than bracket slot dimension, allowing torque efficiency comparable to, or exceeding, that of edgewise brackets when well designed.[30] The force-inducing projection is created with Hilliard thermo-pliers or by composite build-ups applied to both the labial and lingual surfaces of the target tooth, supplemented by block-out material on the working cast to guide the intended movement. "Torque enhancer" ridges moulded near the gingival margin of teeth undergoing torque stiffen that region of the aligner and concentrate additional force close to the root, while horizontal rectangular bevelled attachments generate a counteractive extrusive force whenever a torqued tooth begins to tip buccally, limiting unwanted crown displacement during bodily root movement. Continued development of aligner materials and attachment geometry, alongside three-dimensional printing of patient-specific appliances, is expected to further narrow the gap between aligner and fixed-appliance torque control.[31]

Clinical Significance of Torque Control

Torque governs reorientation of the apical bases: bodily retraction of the upper incisors, dependent on adequate root torque control, produces substantially greater reduction in the SNA–SNB discrepancy than lingual crown tipping alone, a principle central to camouflage treatment of Class II skeletal discrepancy.[2,32] Torque also determines smile esthetics: clinical crown torque of the maxillary canines and premolars, tailored to individual facial type, governs fullness of the buccal corridor and the smile arc.[33] Torque interacts closely with root-resorption risk: Ketcham's foundational investigations, and subsequent confirmatory studies, established that light, intermittent torquing forces minimize resorption relative to continuous heavy forces, particularly in mature or periodontally compromised patients.[34] Finally, torque contributes to arch-perimeter management: an uncinched torquing arch increases anterior arch perimeter by rotating incisor crowns facially about their centre of resistance, whereas an uncinched base arch increases posterior arch perimeter by tipping molar crowns distally.[3]

II. Discussion

Across every appliance system reviewed, torque delivery reduces to the same two mechanical primitives — the moment of a couple and the moment of a force — expressed through different hardware: a twisted rectangular wire in edgewise and lingual brackets, a dedicated spring or spur auxiliary in Begg mechanics, a flexible spring in removable appliances, and an elastic plastic projection in aligners.[3,19] What differs between systems is not the underlying mechanics but the fidelity with which the moment is transmitted to the root: fixed rectangular-slot systems suffer from slot-wire play and reciprocal effects on adjacent teeth, Begg-type auxiliaries isolate the moment to a single tooth at the cost of added chairside complexity, removable appliances trade simplicity for limited, tipping-dominant root control, and aligners trade patient comfort and esthetics for a moment arm constrained by clinical crown height.

This comparison carries two practical implications. First, no single torque prescription or appliance system is universally correct; the substantial spread between the Roth, Vari-Simplex, Bio-progressive, and MBT values, and the emergence of Variable Prescription Orthodontics, reflect a field moving toward individualized, case-specific torque selection rather than a fixed default.[11] Second, because torque expression is so sensitive to bracket position, wire alloy, slot play, and ligation method — and because much of the available moment data is derived from in vitro testing that does not fully predict in vivo, malposition-affected performance — published torque values should be treated by clinicians as a starting reference rather than a guarantee of the root movement actually delivered.[16] The continuing shift from empirical wire-bending toward digitally planned, sensor-monitored, and 3D-printed torque delivery promises to narrow the gap between prescribed and expressed torque, but does not remove the clinician's responsibility to verify torque expression directly at each stage of treatment, across whichever appliance system is chosen.

Advances in bracket design, wire metallurgy, and digital planning continue to refine torque control. Three-dimensional printing enables fabrication of patient-specific appliances and aligner attachments optimized to individual root and crown morphology, and smart brackets incorporating embedded sensors have been proposed for real-time monitoring of torque application throughout treatment. Enhanced imaging and biomechanical simulation software may allow clinicians to predict torque expression and associated resorption risk before treatment begins, while continued collaboration between orthodontists and periodontists remains important for balancing torque-dependent tooth movement against periodontal and osseous limits.

III. Conclusion

Torque is a biomechanically complex but clinically indispensable force, governing not merely the alignment of teeth but their long-term axial stability, esthetic integration, and periodontal health. The archwire-bracket interface delivers baseline torque in most fixed and aligner systems, while dedicated torquing auxiliaries remain the clinician's most precise tool for isolated, reciprocal, or early-stage root control. A sound grasp of the moment of a couple and the moment of a force, together with fluency in appliance-specific torque delivery across fixed, lingual, removable, and aligner-based systems, allows the clinician to select and modify torque strategies rationally rather than empirically. As individualized, variable-prescription approaches and digitally guided appliance design continue to mature, torque management is steadily shifting from an empirical practice toward a predictable, mechanics-based discipline.

References

[1]. Wadhawan R, Vipul S, Savla S, Singha PK, Sarmah PJ, Kumar P. Harnessing innovations in orthodontics: leveraging torque for optimal precision. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res. 2024;12(10):98-115.
[2]. Rauch ED. Torque and its application to orthodontics. Am J Orthod. 1959;45(11):817-830.
[3]. Isaacson RJ, Lindauer SJ, Rubenstein LK. Moments with the edgewise appliance: incisor torque control. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1993;103(5):428-438.
[4]. Andrews LF. The six keys to normal occlusion. Am J Orthod. 1972;62:296-309.
[5]. Nanda RS. Biomechanics and Esthetic Strategies in Clinical Orthodontics. St Louis, Mo: Elsevier Saunders; 2005.
[6]. Proffit WR, Fields H, Larson B, Sarver DM. Contemporary Orthodontics. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2018.
[7]. Roth R. The straight-wire appliance 17 years later. J Clin Orthod. 1987;21(9):632-642.
[8]. Germane N, Bentley BE, Isaacson RJ. Three biologic variables modifying faciolingual tooth angulation by straight-wire appliances. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1989;96:312-319.
[9]. Bench RW. Bioprogressive therapy. J Clin Orthod. 1978;12(1):48-69.

[10]. McLaughlin RP, Bennett JC, Trevisi HJ. Systemized Orthodontic Treatment Mechanics. St Louis, Mo: CV Mosby; 2001.
[11]. Lacarbonara M, Accivile E, Abed MR, Dinoi MT, Monaco A, Marzo G, Capogreco M. Variable torque prescription: state of art. Open Dent J. 2015;9:60-64.
[12]. Damon D. JCO interviews. J Clin Orthod. 2012;46(11):667-668.
[13]. Sondhi A. Smart things to know about the Smart Clip™ self-ligating appliance system. Orthod Perspect. 2007;14(2):4-9.
[14]. Badawi HM, Toogood RW, Carey JPR, Heo G, Major PW. Torque expression of self-ligating brackets. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2008;133:721-728.
[15]. Gioka C, Eliades T. Materials-induced variation in the torque expression of preadjusted appliances. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2004;125:323-328.
[16]. Morina E, Eliades T, Pandis N, Jaguer A, Bourauel C. Torque expression of self-ligating brackets with conventional metallic, ceramic and plastic brackets. Eur J Orthod. 2008;30:233-238.
[17]. Meyer M, Nelson G. Preadjusted edgewise appliances: theory and practice. Am J Orthod. 1987;73:485-498.
[18]. McLaughlin RP, Bennett JC, Trevisi HJ. Labial root torque: impact on the maxilla and apex of incisor roots. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1989;95(3):208-219.
[19]. Bansal N. Torquing Auxiliaries [Seminar]. Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics, Maulana Azad Institute of Dental Sciences; moderated by Tripathi T, Rai P, Kanase A, et al.
[20]. D'Souza IM, Kumar HCK, Shetty KS, Kishore MSV. The rat trap lingual torquing auxiliary. J Clin Orthod. 2013;11(12):716-726.
[21]. Tan F. Begg reverse torquing auxiliary. J Clin Orthod. 1987;21(11):789-790.
[22]. Kitchton JF. The Kitchton torquing auxiliary. J Clin Orthod. 1967;10(1):72-73.
[23]. Mollenhauer B. An aligning auxiliary for ribbon arch brackets: rectangular boxes from ultrafine high tensile wires. Aust Orthod J. 1990;11:219-226.
[24]. Begg PR, Kesling PC. Begg Orthodontic Theory and Technique. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1977.
[25]. Bernstein L. Root torque with Warren springs. J Clin Orthod. 1971;5(3):167-169.
[26]. O'Meara AJ. Individual torquing auxiliary. J Clin Orthod. 1986;20:524-525.
[27]. Shetty V, Shetty SV, Sarje S, Tandon R, Singh K. Lingual orthodontics - a review. IP Indian J Orthod Dentofac Res. 2020;6(2):44-50.
[28]. Kesling CK. Improving incisor torque control with nickel-titanium torque bars. J Clin Orthod. 1999;33:224-230.
[29]. Batra P, Miglani R, Saalim M. A novel approach to intrude incisor by removable orthodontic appliance. J Clin Stud Med Case Rep. 2015;2(3):014.
[30]. Anbuselvan GJ, Senthil Kumar KP, Tamilzharasi S, et al. Essix appliance revisited. NJIRM. 2012;3(1):125-138.
[31]. Bichu YM, Alwafi A, Liu X, Andrews J, Ludwig B, Bichu AY, Zou B. Advances in orthodontic clear aligner materials. Bioact Mater. 2023;22:384-403.
[32]. Holdaway RA. Changes in relationship of points A and B. Am J Orthod. 1956;42:176-193.
[33]. Zachrisson BU. Making the premolar extraction smile full and radiant. World J Orthod. 2002;3:260-265.
[34]. Ketcham AH. A preliminary report of an investigation of apical root resorption of permanent teeth. Int J Orthod. 1927;13:97-127.