



Co relation between old terminology of abnormal uterine bleeding, age, parity and PALM COEIN, with specific management.

Saniyah Khan Galzie¹ Fariha Aman²

¹(Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, GMC Srinagar/ Kashmir university, India)

²(Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, GMC Srinagar/ Kashmir university, India)

Submitted: 25-09-2022

Accepted: 06-10-2022

ABSTRACT: Introduction: Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is the most common symptom of gynecological conditions, which is defined as any type of bleeding in which the duration, frequency, or amount is excessive for an individual patient. A large number of terms are used to define the bleeding patterns of AUB, like menorrhagia, metrorrhagia, hypermenorrhoea, menometrorrhagia, polymenorrhoea etc. The development of PALM COEIN classification system for AUB has been remarkable for a long time, because the classical terminology defining AUB contains terms that are not related to a particular pathological process. The objective of the study is to co relate the age, parity and bleeding patterns in each type of AUB group, such that the most important factor for the management of the patient is evident. **Materials and method:** This study was conducted from September 2020 to January 2021, as a retrospective observational study in the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology at LallaDed Hospital, Srinagar. All the relevant data regarding age, parity, bleeding patterns, diagnosis, treatment and type of AUB was collected from hospital records and analysed. **Results:** AUB P required polypectomy irrespective of age, parity or bleeding pattern. The treatment for AUB A and L, however differed on the basis of bleeding pattern and age and parity. AUB O was treated according to specific etiology. **Conclusion:** The PALM COEIN classification is important for knowing the cause of the AUB and classifying it. However in terms of deciding the specific treatment modality, it is insufficient and the old terminological classification describing the bleeding pattern plays a pivotal role in deciding the specific treatment among the various types of treatment modalities for the specific AUB. Thus both the classifications need to be clubbed to ensure proper patient management.

Keywords: Abnormal uterine bleeding, Adenomyosis, Hormonal medication, PALM COEIN, Leiomyoma.

I. INTRODUCTION

Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is the most common symptom of gynecological conditions, which is defined as any type of bleeding in which the duration, frequency, or amount is excessive for an individual patient [1] A large number of terms are used to define the bleeding patterns of AUB, like menorrhagia, metrorrhagia, hypermenorrhoea, menometrorrhagia, polymenorrhoea etc. However, there has been an update to standardize descriptive terms, and menorrhagia, metrorrhagia, and oligomenorrhoea have been replaced with the terminology of heavy menstrual bleeding (HMB), intermenstrual bleeding, and unscheduled bleeding or breakthrough bleeding with the use of hormone medication [2]. The development of PALM COEIN classification system for AUB has been remarkable for a long time, because the classical terminology defining AUB contains terms that are not related to a particular pathological process [3]. However when it comes to treatment of patients the choice of treatment depends not only on the etiological factor of AUB, but also the bleeding patterns which are described accurately by old terminology and also factors like age and parity. The objective of the study is to co relate the age, parity and bleeding patterns in each type of AUB group, such that the most important factor for the management of the patient is evident.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

This study was conducted from September 2020 to January 2021, as a retrospective observational study in the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology at LallaDed Hospital, Srinagar. All the relevant data regarding age, parity, bleeding patterns, diagnosis, treatment and type of AUB was collected from hospital records. The relevant data was collected and analysed in Microsoft excel sheet.

**Inclusion criteria:**

1. Age 15-55 years
2. Abnormal uterine bleeding with complete hospital records

Exclusion criteria:

1. Pregnancy

2. Vaginal bleeding caused due to cervical or vaginal cause
3. Chronic liver disease
4. Chronic renal disease
5. Non endometrial malignancy
6. Post menopausal women

III. RESULTS**Table 1: AUB P**

| AUB P | No. Of patients (5) | Age group | Bleeding pattern | parity | Treatment |
|-------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | 1 | 31- 40 | Polymenorrhoea | Multi | Tranexemic acid + polypectomy |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | polymenorrhagia | Grand multi | Tranexemic acid + polypectomy |
| | 1 | >/=41 | menometrorrhagia | Multi | polypectomy |
| | 1 | 21 -29 | menometrorrhagia | primi | polypectomy |
| | 1 | >/=41 | menorrhgia | Multi | polypectomy |

All patients inevitably required polypectomy irrespective of the age, parity, or bleeding pattern only those required medical management with tranexemic acid, in addition to

polypectomy, who were currently bleeding while awaiting surgery. Therefore in case of AUB P , the classification is sufficient for definitive treatment.

Table 2: AUB A

| AUB A | No. Of patients (9) | Age group | Bleeding pattern | parity | Treatment |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Including AUB A + P (1) | 2 | >/=41 | menorrhagia | multi | TAH |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | menometrorrhagia | Grand multi | LNG IUS |
| | 1 | 31 -40 | polymenorrhagia | Grant multi | LNG IUS |
| | 1 | 20 -30 | polymenorrhoea | primi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |
| | 1 | 20 -30 | Polymenorrhagia | multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | menorrhagia | multi | Tranexemic acid |
| | 1 | >/=41 | menorrhagia | multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |
| | 1 | >/=41 | menometrorrhagia | multi | norethisterone |

Age and parity remained an important factor for decision among treatment options in AUB A. While women in perimenopausal age group were acceptable of TAH; middle aged women in age group 31-40 yrs, opted for LNG IUS, as preservation of uterus is an important factor among these women even though family may be complete. However , LNG IUS was not suitable in women whose family was incomplete and they

opted for medical management fully aware of the fact that it was temporary and not a definitive management.

Bleeding pattern was an important factor in medical management in order to choose whether patient needed hormonal or non hormonal management.



Table 3: AUB L

| AUB L | 12 | Age group | Bleeding pattern | parity | Treatment |
|----------------|----|-----------|----------------------------|-------------|--|
| | 1 | </=20 | menorrhagia | primi | Tranexemic acid |
| | 2 | >/=41 | menorrhagia | multi | Tranexemic acid |
| | 1 | 21 - 30 | menorrhagia | primi | Tranexemic acid |
| | 1 | 31 -40 | Menorrhagia | Multi | Tranexemic acid |
| | 1 | >/=41 | menorrhagia | multi | Tranexemic acid + Mifepristone |
| | 1 | >/=41 | polymenorrhagia | primi | TAH |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | polymenorrhagia | multi | TAH |
| | 1 | 31 -40 | Menorrhagia + dysmenorrhea | multi | LNG IUS |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | Menorrhagia + dysmenorrhea | multi | Tranexemic acid + medroxy progesterone |
| | 1 | 20 – 30 | polymenorrhagia | multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | menorrhagia | multi | Tranexemic acid + northesterone |
| AUB L+A | 1 | >/=41 | polymenorrhagia | Grand multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |
| AUB L+M | 1 | >/=41 | polymenorrhagia | Multi | TAH |

Treatment modality in AUB L depends on age , parity , bleeding pattern. While TAH was a treatment option in perimenopausal women, however in case of disturbing bleeding pattern of polymenorrhagia with a distorted endometrial cavity, women in 31 – 40 yrs age group also opted for the same, as the insertion of LNG IUS was not an option. Bleeding pattern was the main factor in women who opted for medical management in determining whether women required hormonal treatment or simply tranexemic acid.

Though medical management was mainly opted in women whom family was incomplete, in one case, woman with AUB L+A opted for the same as she had responded to the same and was also counselled regarding LNG IUS since she belonged to perimenopausal age group and her family was also complete. The cost factor and fear of insertion of an IUS are also important factors that affect patient choice for the method.

Table 4: AUB M

| AUB M | No of patients (7) | Age | Bleeding pattern | Parity | Treatment |
|-------|--------------------|---------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| | 1 | >/=41 | menometrorrhagia | multi | TAH |
| | 1 | >/=41 | polymenorrhagia | multi | TAH |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | polymenorrhagia | Grand multi | TAH |
| | 1 | >/=41 | polymenorrhagia | multi | LNG IUS |
| | 1 | >/=41 | menorrhagia | multi | LNG IUS |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | polymenorrhagia | Grand multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | menorrhagia | Multi | Norethisterone |

The endometrial biopsy in all cases of AUB M was of benign pathology. 42.85 % of patients opted for surgical management considering the perimenopausal age group and family was

complete. Other 28.57% opted for LNG IUS as an alternative to surgery. Oral hormonal treatment was reserved as initial treatment for middle aged women.

**Table 5: AUB I**

| AUB I | No of patients (7) | Age | Bleeding pattern | Parity | Treatment |
|-------|--------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--|
| | 1 | 20 - 30 | menometrohaggia | Primi | Combined oral pill |
| | 1 | 20 - 30 | menorrhagia | Multi | Combined oral pill |
| | 1 | 20 - 30 | menorrhagia | Primi | Tranexemic acid |
| | 1 | 20 - 30 | polymenorrhea | Multi | Tranexemic acid |
| | 1 | 20 - 30 | polymenorrhagia | Multi | Norethisterone followed by combined oral pills |
| | 1 | 20 - 30 | polymenorrhagia | Multi | Tranexemic acid + combined oral pills |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | menorrhagia | Multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |

All the patients were suffering from AUB I due to side effects of contraceptives, either DMPA, or IUCD, or erratic intake of combined oral pills, or emergency pill. They were started on combined oral pills in order to regularize their cycles and most of them developed irregular menstrual pattern .

Table 6: AUB E

| AUB E | No of patients (8) | Age | Bleeding pattern | Parity | Treatment |
|-------|--------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--|
| | 1 | 21 – 30 | polymenorrhagia | Primi | norethisterone |
| | 1 | 21 - 30 | Polymenorrhea | Multi | Norethisterone |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | menometrohaggia | Multi | LNG IUS |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | Menorrhagia | Multi | Tranexemic acid |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | polymenorrhagia | Multi | norethisterone |
| | 1 | 31 - 40 | menorrhagia | Multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone f/b combined oral contraceptive pills |
| | 1 | 21 - 30 | polymenorrhea | Multi | Combined oral pills |
| | 1 | 21 - 30 | polymenorrhagia | Multi | Combined oral pills |

In AUB E , patients were mainly started with norethisterone due to their irregular and heavy bleeding patterns, irrespective of age group and parity. However due consideration was given to the need of contraception and those willing were started on LNG IUS and combined oral pills .

Table 7: AUB O

| AUB O | No of patients (49) | Age | Bleeding pattern | Parity | Treatment |
|-------|---------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------------|---|
| | 2 | </=20 | Oligo + Hypomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Combined oral pill +myoinositol+Acetylcystein |
| | 1 | 31-40 | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Myoinositol+Acetylcystein + /- Metformin |
| | 1 | 21-30 | Menorrhagia | Multi | Norethisterone + thyroxin |
| | 1 | </=20 | Oligo + | Nulli parous | Cabergolin + |



| | | | | | |
|---|--------|--|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | Hypomenorrhea | | Myoinositol+Acetylcystein + /- Metformin |
| 1 | </=20 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Withdrawal with Medroxy progesterone acetate + thyroxin |
| 1 | 21- 30 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Myoinositol+Acetylcystein + /- Metformin+ thyroxin |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Combined oral pill +myoinositol+Acetylcystein |
| 1 | </=20 | | Hypomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Myoinositol+Acetylcystein + /- Metformin |
| 1 | 21 -30 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Myoinositol+Acetylcystein + /- Metformin |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Hypomenorrhea | primiparous | Combined oral pill +myoinositol+Acetylcystein |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Hypomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Cabergolin + thyroxin |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Oligomenorrhea | Multi | Combined oral pill |
| 1 | </=20 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Combined oral pill |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Oligomenorrhea | multi | Cabergolin |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Combined oral pill |
| 1 | </=20 | | Oligo + Hypomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Cabergolin |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Cabergolin |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Combined oral pill +myoinositol+Acetylcystein |
| 1 | </=20 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Myoinositol+Acetylcystein + /- Metformin |
| 1 | </=20 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Combined oral pill +myoinositol+Acetylcystein + thyroxin |
| 4 | </=20 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Combined oral pill +myoinositol+Acetylcystein |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Oligomenorrhea | Nulli parous | Combined oral pill +myoinositol+Acetylcystein |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Polymenorrhea | Multi | Combined oral pill |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Polymenorrhagia | Multi | Thyroxin |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Polymenorrhagia | Multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Polymenorrhagia | primiparous | Combined oral pill |
| 1 | >/=41 | | Polymenorrhagia | Multi | LNG IUS |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Menorrhagia | Multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone + thyroxin |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Menorrhagia | Multi | Norethisterone |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Menorrhagia | Nulli parous | Combined oral pill + thyroxin |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Menorrhagia | Multi | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |
| 1 | 21-30 | | Menorrhagia | Nulli parous | Thyroxin |
| 1 | >41 | | Menorrhagia | Grand multi | Tranexemic acid |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Menorrhagia | Multi | Tranexemic acid + thyroxin |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Polymenorrhagia | Grand multi | Medroxy progesterone acetate f/b Combined oral pills |
| 1 | 31-40 | | Menorrhagia | Multi | thyroxin |
| 1 | >/=41 | | Menorrhagia | Grand multi | Tranexemic acid + |



| | | | | | |
|---|---------|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| | | | | | norethisterone |
| 1 | <=20 | Menorrhagia | Nulli parous | | Tranexemic acid |
| 1 | 31-40 | Polymenorrhagia | Multi | | Tranexemic acid |
| 1 | >=41 | Polymenorrhagia | Multi | | LNG IUS |
| 1 | 31-40 | Polymenorrhagia | Multi | | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |
| 1 | 31-40 | Polymenorrhagia | Multi | | Norethisterone + thyroxin |
| 1 | 21-30 | Polymenorrhea | primiparous | | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone + thyroxin |
| 1 | <=20 | menometrorrhagia | Nulli parous | | Laprotomy |
| 1 | 31 - 40 | Metrohagia | Multi | | Tranexemic acid + norethisterone |

The group belong to AUB O was the most heterogenous and the broad classification of AUB O was insufficient for treatment. The bleeding pattern was the first cue to even diagnosis and most important factor for treatment. Since AUB O comprises a heterogenous group, the treatment was based on the pathology of each specific case, including PCOS, hyperprolactinemia, hypothyroidism, endometriosis, ovarian tumors etc.

As we can see in the table, for the treatment of the patient it was not sufficient to only categorize the AUB according to PALM COEIN, but to take the bleeding pattern into consideration. The treatment modality also depends on the age and parity of the patient, however the management greatly differed even in the same age group or parity depending on the bleeding pattern observed.

IV. DISCUSSION

Abnormal uterine bleeding is the most common complaint seen in female patients attending gynaecological outpatient department. However, there are varied etiologies and PALM COEIN classification helps in differentiating according to cause.

In case, of AUB P, all patients were surgically managed irrespective of age, parity, clinical presentation, however due to varied clinical presentation, some were prescribed tranexemic acid while awaiting surgery. According to Nathani F et al.⁴, hysteroscopic polypectomy is recommended for AUB P.

In case of AUB A, despite single etiology, the management differed greatly depending on bleeding pattern and parity. While patients whose family was complete were treated with LNG IUS or TAH, those with irregular menstrual cycles were treated with oral norethisterone. Middle aged women in age group 31-40 yrs, opted for LNG IUS, as preservation of uterus is an important factor among these women even though family may be complete. E. Gupta⁵ et al. conducted a study in

which LNG IUS was found to be associated with significant improvement in heavy menstrual bleeding and dysmenorrhea. The mean age group that opted for it was 31-40 yrs, as in our study.

Treatment modality in AUB L depends on age, parity, bleeding pattern. While TAH was a treatment option in perimenopausal women, however in case of disturbing bleeding pattern of polymenorrhagia with a distorted endometrial cavity, women in 31-40 yrs age group also opted for the same, as the insertion of LNG IUS was not an option. Bleeding pattern was the main factor in women who opted for medical management in determining whether women required hormonal treatment or simply tranexemic acid. medical management was mainly opted in women whom family was incomplete. TAH was done in 25% of patients, while 41% were given non hormonal treatment and 25% were given hormonal treatment in addition to tranexemic acid. this difference in treatment was based on age, parity and bleeding patterns. In study conducted by T.L.Suseela⁶, 41.46% were subjected to surgery among AUB L, conservative management was done in 58.53%, whereas tranexemic acid was prescribed in all, along with various hormonal and non hormonal medication.

The endometrial biopsy in all cases of AUB M was of benign pathology and no case of endometrial carcinoma was found. In AUB M, 42.85% underwent TAH, while 28.57% had LNG IUS insertion and 28.57% were prescribed oral hormonal medication as they belonged to middle age group. In study by T.L.Susheela⁶ et al., In Patients diagnosed with etiology of Endometrial Hyperplasia, only 28% were subjected to surgery and 24% patients were prescribed with norethisterone.

All the patients were suffering from AUB I due to side effects of contraceptives, either DMPA, or IUCD, or erratic intake of combined oral pills, or emergency pill. They were started on



combined oral pills in order to regularize their cycles as most of them developed irregular bleeding pattern. The treatment in case of AUB I, depends on the bleeding pattern disturbances and is treated according to the specific cause. In a study conducted by Schrage S. et al⁷. on abnormal uterine bleeding due to hormonal contraceptives, found that it was the main cause of discontinuation and had to be treated according to the type of contraception used.

In AUB E, patients were mainly started with norethisterone due to their irregular and heavy bleeding patterns, irrespective of age group and parity. However due consideration was given to the need of contraception and those willing were started on LNG IUS or combined oral pills. According to M. Khrouf et al⁸. medical management is the first line treatment which includes both hormonal as well as non hormonal medication.

The group belong to AUB O was the most heterogenous and the broad classification of AUB O was insufficient for treatment. The bleeding pattern was the first cue to even diagnosis and most important factor for treatment. Since AUB O comprises a heterogenous group, the treatment was based on the pathology of each specific case, including PCOS, hyperprolactinemia, hypothyroidism, endometriosis, ovarian tumors etc. Katrina Jones et al⁹ stated that the determination of treatment of AUB O, was through the etiology of the ovulatory disorder and patients therapeutic goals.

V. CONCLUSION

The PALM COEIN classification is important for knowing the cause of the AUB and classifying it. However in terms of deciding the specific treatment modality, it is insufficient and the old terminological classification describing the bleeding pattern plays a pivotal role in deciding the specific treatment among the various types treatment modalities for the specific AUB. Thus both the classifications need to be clubbed to ensure proper patient management.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Sun Y, Wang Y, Mao L, Wen J, Bai W. Prevalence of abnormal uterine bleeding according to new International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics classification in Chinese women of reproductive age: A cross-sectional study. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 97 (2018): e11457.
- [2]. Munro MG, Critchley H, Fraser IS. Research and clinical management for

women with abnormal uterine bleeding in the reproductive years: More than PALM-COEIN. *BJOG*. 2017; 124(2): 185–189, doi: 10.1111/14710528.14431, indexed in Pubmed: 28012269.

- [3]. Fraser IS, Critchley HOD, Munro MG, et al. Can we achieve international agreement on terminologies and definitions used to describe abnormalities of menstrual bleeding? *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22(3): 635–643, doi: 10.1093/humrep/del478, indexed in Pubmed: 17204526
- [4]. Nathani F, Clark TJ. Uterine polypectomy in the management of abnormal uterine bleeding: a systematic review. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*. 2006 Jul 1;13(4):260-8.
- [5]. Gupta E, Prateek S, Mani P, Yadav L, Tyagi M, Singh A. Role of LNG-IUS in adenomyosis in reproductive age group women: a prospective interventional study. *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2019 Apr 1;8(4):1254-60.
- [6]. Suseela TL, Parveen S, Archana D, Prasanna KS, Harini N, Pravallika V. A Study on Incidence, Clinical Profile and Prescribing Pattern in Abnormal Uterine Bleeding in a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital. *International Journal of Research and Review*. 2019;6(11):548-60.
- [7]. Schrage SB. Abnormal uterine bleeding associated with hormonal contraception. *American family physician*. 2002 May 15;65(10):2073.
- [8]. Khrouf M, Terras K. Diagnosis and management of formerly called “dysfunctional uterine bleeding” according to PALM-COEIN FIGO classification and the new guidelines. *The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India*. 2014 Dec;64(6):388-93.
- [9]. Jones K, Sung S. Anovulatory Bleeding. *InStatPearls [Internet]* 2021 Jun 26. StatPearls Publishing.