



Fabrication of Fixed Partial Denture Using A Semi-Precision Attachment in A Pier Abutment Case

Dr. Jyoti Gupta Agrawal, Dr. Pankaj Datta, Dr. Mayank Aggarwal, Dr. Kritika Pandey

^{1,3,4} Post graduate student, Department of Prosthodontics, Indraprastha Dental college, Sahibabad

² Dean, Professor & Hod, Department of Prosthodontics, Indraprastha Dental College, Sahibabad

Date of Submission: 20-06-2023

Date of Acceptance: 29-06-2023

ABSTRACT

The most common clinical situation, either in the maxillary or mandibular arch, is of a missing first premolar and first molar, where the canine and the second molar are known as terminal abutments and second premolar is a pier abutment. This clinical situation poses challenge to prosthodontist in rehabilitation phase. In such case there is a tendency of terminal abutments to intrude during function results in a teetering movement, where the pier abutment acts as a fulcrum. This will lead to debonding of the less retentive terminal retainer. In order to overcome this, utilization of nonrigid connectors has been advised. This paper presents a clinical case report which describes incorporation of semi-precision connector to rehabilitate pier abutment case.

Key words: Pier abutment, Non-rigid connector, Precision attachment, Tenon-mortise attachment

I. INTRODUCTION

The success of FPD depends upon a number of factors but the failure could be attributed to the occlusal forces applied to prosthesis during the function. These forces are transmitted to the abutments all through the pontic, connectors, and retainers leading to abnormal stress concentration in FPD.¹

An FPD with the pontic rigidly fixed to the retainer provides adequate strength and stability to the prosthesis and also minimizes the stresses associated with the restoration. But if an edentulous space occurs on both sides of a tooth, creating a pier abutment then physiologic tooth movement, arch position of the abutment, and a disparity in the retentive capacity of the retainers can make a 5-unit FPD a less than ideal plan of treatment.²

Pier abutment also referred as "intermediate abutment", defined as "a natural tooth or implant abutment that is located between terminal abutments that serve to support a fixed or removable dental prosthesis".³

However, in such clinical situations the pier abutment acts as fulcrum leading to dislodgment of prosthesis during function. Selection of correct type and right location of connector can determine success or failure of the prosthesis.⁵ There are two types, rigid connectors and nonrigid connectors.⁶ Rigid connectors are made by different procedures such as casting, soldering, and welding. The cast connectors are to be properly shaped in wax patterns.

The nonrigid connector or stress breaker permits limited movement between the otherwise, independent members of the FPDs. The nonrigid connector could be made by incorporation of prefabricated inserts, by use of a custom-milling machine, or by use of the prefabricated plastic patterns.⁶

In pier abutment cases, a nonrigid connector is advocated which act as a stress breaking mechanical union to circumvent alignment problems in abutment preparations and to separate occlusal stresses (Becerra, 1987). This "Broken-stress" principle can be achieved by means of an attachment either a precision or semi precision attachment (Markley, 1951). The present case report describes a simple technique to break stress around pier abutment by customizing semi precision attachment within a convention 5-unit FPD.

II. CASE REPORT

A 55-year-old female patient reported to the Department of Prosthodontics in IP Dental College, Ghaziabad, India with a chief complaint of missing teeth, difficulty in mastication as well as aesthetic problem. Past medical history was insignificant and past dental history revealed that patient had undergone extraction of extensively decayed mandibular left 1st molar and 1st premolar one year back.

Intraoral examination revealed missing left mandibular first premolar and first molar with left mandibular canine and second molar acting as terminal abutments and second premolar act as a pier abutment (Figure 1). The radiographic

evaluations showed that the abutment teeth had

adequate bone support to be used as abutment.



Figure 1. Pre-operative Intraoral photograph

After discussing all the treatment options, it was decided to rehabilitate the case with 5-unit FDP using nonrigid (semi-precision) connectors on the distal aspect of pier abutment.

Clinical Procedure

The tooth preparation was done for porcelain fused to metal prosthesis on left

mandibular canine and second mandibular premolar with equi-gingival margins to get desired aesthetics (Figure 2).

The gingival retraction was carried out to take final impression using elastomeric impression material (Dentsply Aquasil putty and kit) with two step putty wash technique (Figure 3).



Figure 2. Tooth preparation done



Figure 3. Two step putty wash impression

An interocclusal record was made using bite registration material (Futar Fast Bite registration material). The provisional restorations were fabricated with a tooth color auto-polymerizing acrylic resin and cemented with non-eugenol temporary cement.

The impression was poured in high-strength die stone (Kalabhai Karson Pvt.Ltd.). The Master cast was retrieved and die cutting was done followed by mounting of master cast on an articulator using interocclusal record.

Wax pattern was fabricated and then the non-mortise attachment was placed on the

distal aspect of the middle abutment (Figure 4&5). Casting was done in two parts. First, the anterior segment consisting of 33, 34 and 35 including the male component of the attachment and later wax pattern for the posterior segment consisting of 36 and 37 including the female component was fabricated so that no interference or distortion would be encountered (Figure 6).

The metal try-in was done on patient to check the proper seating of prosthesis. Then ceramic facing was added (Figure 7). Anterior segment with female portion and posterior segment with male portion were assembled together.



During cementation, anterior three-unit segment with keyway was cemented first followed by cementation of posterior two-unit segment with key using glass ionomer cement (Figure 8).

The patient was instructed to maintain proper oral hygiene. Use of dental floss and interdental brush was recommended. The patient was evaluated after one week to assess the oral hygiene status



Figure 4 Wax Pattern for anterior segment



Figure 5 Wax pattern for posterior segment



Figure 6 Castings



Figure 7 Ceramic layering



Figure 8 Post-operative intraoral photograph



III. DISCUSSION

Connectors are the part of a fixed partial denture (FPD) that unites the retainers and pontics.¹ Connectors may be rigid (solder joints or cast connector) or non-rigid (precision attachment or stress breaker). Rigid connectors between retainers and pontics are the preferred way of fabricating most FPD. But they are not indicated in all situations like an edentulous space on either side of pier abutment.² The selection of right type of connector during treatment planning is an essential step for success and failure of the prosthesis.³

The existence of pier abutment promotes a fulcrum-like situation that can cause the weakest of the terminal abutments to fail and may cause the intrusion of a pier abutment.⁵ With stress breaker, stresses are concentrated on the supporting bone and not on the connector; hence the need for a stress breaker in pier abutment on both ends of the nonrigid connector is recommended. A stress breaker minimizes mesio-distal torqueing of abutments and permits them to move independently.¹¹ Savion et al., stated that the possible reason for debonding is development of extrusive reactive forces at the canine retainer, as the first molar is loaded due to flexural forces developed within the FPD.^{8,14}

This clinical case report discusses the use of non-rigid connector between distal of second premolar retainer and mesial of first molar pontic where second premolar act as a pier abutment and canine and second molar act as terminal abutments.

The four types of non-rigid connectors are the:

- Dovetail key-keyway or Tenon-Mortise type connectors
- Cross-pin and wing type connector
- Split type connector
- Loop type connector

The most common design of all used is Mortise female component placed within the contours of the retainers and a Tenon male component attached to the pontic. Accurate position of the dovetail shaped Mortise is critical; it must be parallel the path of withdrawal of a distal retainer.^{16,17}

The location where the non-rigid connector is to be placed is also crucial step of treatment planning. There is a conflicting opinion on where to place the non-rigid connector as Markley suggested placement on one of the terminal abutments and not at the pier abutment whereas, Adams and Shillingberg suggested to place connector at the distal side of pier.^{5,19}

Carl E Misch recommended that in conventional FPD, the 'male' portion of a non-rigid connector usually located on mesial aspect of the posterior pontic; whereas, the 'female' portion is in the distal aspect of the natural pier abutment.^{17,18} This prevents mesial drift from unseating the attachment.

IV. CONCLUSION

The size, shape, and type of connectors play a key role in future success of a FPD. Treatment of cases involving pier abutments particularly five-unit bridges, factors such as physiologic tooth movement, arch position of the abutments, and retentive capacity of retainers make the rigid connectors a less ideal plan. Broken-stress measures serve as "safety valves" against the tremendous leverage forces created by the rigid attachment to two or more teeth. The employment of nonrigid connector minimizes the stresses on the abutments. Precision and semi-precision attachments provide room for slight movements which prevents loading of the pier abutment created due to the fulcrum-like situation and increases the lifespan of 5-unit FDP.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Dange SP, Khalikar AN, Kumar S. Non-rigid connectors in fixed dental prosthesis — A case report. *J India Dent Assoc* 2008; 2:356.
- [2]. Yaqoob A, Rasheed N, Ashraf J, Yaqub G: Non-rigid semi precision connectors for FPD. *Dent Med Res*. 2014, 2:17-21.
- [3]. The glossary of prosthodontic terms. *J Prosthet Dent* 2017, May 117:101-105.
- [4]. Contemporary Fixed Prosthodontics-E-Book, 3rd Edn. Rosenstiel SF, Land MF (ed): Elsevier Health Sciences, Amsterdam; 2002.
- [5]. Shillingburg HT, Sather DA, Wilson EL, Cain JR, Mitchell DL, Blanco LJ, et al. *Fundamental of fixed Prosthodontics*. Chicago: Quintessence; 2012; 4:91-2.
- [6]. Shillingburg, H.T., Fisher, D.W. 1973. Non-rigid connector for fixed partial dentures. *J Am Dent Assoc.*, 87:1195-8.
- [7]. Becerra, G. and MacEntee, M. 1987. A classification of precision attachments. *J Prosthet Dent*, Sep 58(3): 322-7.
- [8]. Savion, I, Saucier, C., Rues, S., Sadan, A., Blatz, M. 2006. The pier abutment: Review of literature and a suggested mathematical model. *Quintessence Int.*, 37:345-52.
- [9]. Mattoo K, Brar A, Goswami R: Elucidating the problem of pier abutment



- through the use of a fixed movable prosthesis - a clinical case report. *Int J Dent Sci Res.* 2014, 2:154-157. 10.12691/ijdsr-2-6-8.
- [10]. Sherring-Lucas M, Martin P: Attachments for Prosthetic Dentistry. Introduction and Application. Quintessence, London; 1994.
- [11]. Markley, M.R. 1951. Broken-stress principle and design in fixed bridge prosthesis. *J Prosthet Dent*, Jul 1(4): 416-423.
- [12]. Mensor, M.C. 1973. Classification and selection of attachments. *J Prosthet Dent.*, May 29(5): 494-7.
- [13]. Tylman's theory of fixed Prosthodontics; St. Louis, 8: 74 -5.
- [14]. Oruc, S., Eraslan, O., Tukay, H.A., Atay, A. 2008. Stress analysis of effects of non-rigid connectors on fixed partial dentures with pier abutments. *J Prosthet Dent.*, 99:185-92
- [15]. Picton DCA: Tilting movements of teeth during biting. *Arch Oral Biol* 1962; 7:151-9.
- [16]. Standlee, J.P., Caputo, A.A. 1988. Load transfer by fixed partial dentures with three abutments. *Quintessence Int.* 19:403-10.
- [17]. Contemporary Implant Dentistry. Elsevier Mosby, 3rd edition. Carl E Misch. 2005; 3: 263-64.
- [18]. Badwaik PV, Pakahan AJ. Non rigid connectors in fixed Prosthodontics: current concepts with a case report. *J Ind Prostho Soc.* 2005; 5:99-102.
- [19]. Adams JD. Planning posterior bridges. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1956; 53:647-54.
- [20]. Parfitt GJ: Measurement of the physiological mobility of individual teeth in an axial direction. *J Dent Res* 1960; 39:608-18.